Volume 1

Pages 1 - 112

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE SCOTT CORLEY, MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IN RE PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER ) No. 18-1586 JSC LITIGATION

San Francisco, California Thursday, May 20, 2021

# TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

#### **APPEARANCES:**

For Plaintiffs:

GIRARD SHARP LLP

602 California Street, Suite 1400 San Francisco, California 94108

BY: DENA C. SHARP, ESQ.

ADAM E. POLK, ESQ.

NINA R. GLIOZZO, ESQ.

GIBBS LAW GROUP LLP

505 14th Street, Suite 1110

Oakland, California 94612

BY: AMY M. ZEMAN, ESQ.

JOHN BICKNELL, ESQ.

GEOFFREY A. MUNROE, ESQ.

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812, RMR, CRR

Marla F. Knox, CSR, RMR, CRR

Official Reporters - U.S. District Court

# **APPEARANCES:** (continued)

For Defendant Chart Industries:

SWANSON, MARTIN AND BELL, LLP 330 N Wabash, Suite 3300 Chicago, Illinois 60611

BY: JOHN J. DUFFY, ESQ.

KEVIN M. RINGEL, ESQ.

ANDREW LOTHSON, ESQ.

KRISTINE C. REVEILLE, ESQ.

SHEUERMAN, MARTINI, TABARI,
ZENERE & GARVIN
1033 Willow Street
San Jose, California 95125
BY: MARC G. COWDEN, ESQ.

### Thursday - May 20, 2021

8:34 a.m.

# PROCEEDINGS

---000---

THE COURT: All right. Good morning, everyone. I know the jury's not ready yet, but I wanted to go over some things with you and let you know how I intended the process to go.

I know that the jurors got the phone calls that they had to show up today. They have to do that COVID-symptom questionnaire. I think there were at least three that had symptoms, so the jury commissioner added three people, three more. And then, of course, some people are not going to show up.

So that's just to say when we get the list it will be a little different than you think. It will go a little farther into our jury pool.

So the way it's going to work is -- and, actually, you only have five people at counsel table. Is that what it's going to be?

MR. POLK: No, Your Honor, I think there's two more.

THE COURT: So this is what I want to do because, actually, someone commented yesterday, when they saw all the people there, it made them uncomfortable.

But first what I'm going to do is I'm going to tell the jury that everyone on this side is vaccinated, fully

vaccinated, also, to give them some comfort.

But I also do think, in terms of I think we should limit it to five so you can spread out a little more. Before we do our challenges or anything the jury is going to go away, and then we can all be together.

But the CDC's announcement last week was a bit abrupt. I was talking to Judge Cousins. He did, like, a 3-day jury trial. And he said he had one juror, and she said this is the first time she had been in a room; she's really anxious. Then she got selected for the jury, and she made it through.

But I want to be sensitive to that for a lot of people this is going to be the first time they have been with this many people indoors. And so I think that we should do that.

MS. SHARP: Makes sense.

THE COURT: So I'm going to tell them all that we're vaccinated; thank them. Tell them a little bit. Remind them, again.

Of our safety precautions our their safety is our priority. Tell them the reason that you guys aren't socially distanced, is you have created your own pods and are all fully vaccinated. Tell them our goal is to select the jury by 1:00 o'clock today. That's our goal.

And then I'll introduce my staff; ask them if they know any of us. And then I'm going to give each side, you introduce your teams.

```
Do either of you have clients here?
 1
 2
              MS. SHARP:
                          Not today, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
                          Not today.
 3
              MR. DUFFY:
 4
                          Not today.
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Great. Just introduce yourselves then.
              MS. SHARP:
                         Your Honor, one question if we may.
 6
 7
              THE COURT:
                         Can you hold on one second.
              MS. SHARP:
                          Of course.
 8
 9
              THE COURT:
                          Ms. Means says she knows somebody, so
     we'll see.
10
11
              MS. SHARP:
                          Just one question, Your Honor. Given the
     fact there are going to be three new prospective jurors, if we
12
13
     could have just a moment after we get the list so we could
     adjust our seating charts, that would be ideal.
14
                                 I think -- they're going to bring
15
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
16
     it down, and I'm sure it will be a while before they can make
17
     their way down here.
              MR. DUFFY: Your Honor, for the folks who have the
18
     symptoms, do we know the numbers or anything?
19
20
                          I don't know anything. Yeah, I don't know
              THE COURT:
     anything other than she just asked if I wanted three more
21
22
     people put into the 40 called in, and I said yes.
                         Do we move them up from below?
23
              MR. DUFFY:
                          They move up from below. They would be in
24
              THE COURT:
25
             I just don't know which ones would be removed.
     order.
```

```
not random.
                  It's in order.
 1
 2
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Got it. Okay.
                         So I'll have you introduce yourselves but,
              THE COURT:
 3
     of course, the questionnaire already asked them if they knew
 4
 5
     any of you, and no one said they did.
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Okay.
 6
 7
                          And then I'm going to go again through our
              THE COURT:
     schedule and ask again -- I hate to do it, but give them a
 8
     chance, because I don't want to have someone come up at the
 9
     end, after they have been selected, ask them again if there's a
10
11
     reason they cannot serve. We will not excuse anyone now.
                                                                 Just
     after the end we'll just discuss that person.
12
13
          Yeah, so then when I'm done with all that, I'll tell them
     each side is going to have 30 minutes.
14
          Did either of you want to reserve time in your questioning
15
16
     to come back after the other?
17
              MS. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor, a few minutes for the
     plaintiffs.
18
                         You want five minutes of your 30?
19
              THE COURT:
20
              MS. SHARP:
                          Yes.
                                Thank you.
              THE COURT:
                         Would the defense like to reserve five
21
     minutes of their 30?
22
23
              MS. REVEILLE: Two minutes should be good, Your Honor.
24
     Thank you.
25
              THE COURT:
                          All right. So that's a bit of our
```

process.

Then when we're done with that and you're done with that, I'm going to have the -- oh, I'll also let the jurors know that if any of them are not comfortable answering any of your questions in this room in front of the jurors that we will speak to them privately. We'll do that at the end, though, when you're both done. We'll excuse the jury to the room next door and then talk to them. Then that's when we'll do in here the for-cause challenges.

If we have, after the for-cause challenges, 16 left, then we'll do our peremptories. If we don't, then we'll move on to the next panel of 20 or however many came. Same process. And then I'm hopeful that after that we'll have at least 16 left, and then we'll do peremptories.

Does that make sense?

MS. SHARP: Yes. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. DUFFY: Just for tracking purposes and recordkeeping, and so forth, could we have the jurors announce their numbers, their juror numbers?

THE COURT: When they --

MR. DUFFY: When they speak maybe.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. DUFFY: It might be helpful.

THE COURT: Well, so they're not going to speak unless

```
PROCEEDINGS
 1
     you ask them a question. Oh, you mean what you would like me
     to ask the jurors is when they speak --
 2
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Yes.
 3
                         -- if they could first give their number
 4
              THE COURT:
     and their name?
 5
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Yes.
                                Thank you.
 6
 7
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                                 That's a good idea.
          I'm also going to let them know that we are broadcasting
 8
 9
     the proceedings by audio.
          All right. Then one issue came up last night. Chart
10
11
     filed a brief on the arbitration agreement, and I have to tell
     you, what I was going to do was instruct the jury that they're
12
13
     not -- at the appropriate time we can discuss when that would
     be, either in the preliminary instructions or closing
14
15
     instructions -- instruct the jury that they are not to
16
     speculate as to why any entity or person is not a party to this
     trial and that they're instead just to decide the case based on
17
     my instructions and the evidence that they hear in trial.
18
     That's what we do when somebody isn't present.
19
          So I don't know if you want to be heard on that.
20
              MS. REVEILLE: Yes, Your Honor, if I can be heard
21
22
     briefly, I would appreciate that.
```

Your Honor, there's been no motion in limine to bar

reference to the arbitration proceeding. There's no federal

rule of evidence that prohibits it. Under rule 401, I believe

23

24

25

it is relevant to -- to avoid confusion with the jurors.

And it doesn't fall under rule 408, which pertains to settlement. There's been no settlement in this case. And I believe the case law interpreting Federal Rule of Evidence 408 allows -- even in the situation after settlement, allows reference to it to explain to the jury why the empty chair is not there.

And in this case I do believe that that would help clear up some confusion among the jurors, since they're going to be hearing a lot about Pacific Fertility Center in this case.

THE COURT: I guess I'll hear from the plaintiffs.

Maybe they agree with you. So before I'm off on my own...

MS. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor. Dena Sharp for the plaintiffs.

THE COURT: Microphone.

MS. SHARP: Our position, Your Honor, is, at least preliminarily, that we don't object to an instruction or to information about the fact that the Pacific Fertility defendants are in arbitration proceedings; perhaps with just a little bit of explanation that that's a different kind of legal proceeding.

Where we draw the line, however, is any evidence relating to liability or the theories for liability in that proceeding. That's consistent with Rule 408 and the exclusion of evidence on liability and theories.

```
PROCEEDINGS
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Frankly, we don't think 408 is really apposite here.
We've been thinking about this issue a lot, and 408 applies
only as far as it goes, the Belton case that Chart cited --
         THE COURT:
                     I don't know that it's 408.
                                                  I think it's
401.
         MS. SHARP:
                     That's exactly right.
                     I don't think anything more is relevant,
         THE COURT:
but I understand the parties want to explain because they're
obviously going to be on the verdict form.
                     That's right. And they're not settled
         MS. SHARP:
parties, and so it is different than the usual 408 kind of
empty-chair situation. So, from our perspective, an
instruction just that those defendants are subject to a
different set of proceedings is acceptable, but nothing more.
     In other words, we don't want evidence coming in, through
Chart at trial about the theories that are being asserted or
the status of the arbitration or anything like that.
         THE COURT: I don't think they're asking for that
argument.
         MS. REVEILLE: Yes, Your Honor. That would be our --
         THE COURT: Do you think you could come up, with the
plaintiffs, with an instruction? And would you want it read at
the beginning, on Monday, when I do my preliminary
instructions?
         MS. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor.
```

1 MR. DUFFY: Yes. Well, I'm going to file today a draft of 2 THE COURT: the preliminary instructions. Maybe what I'll try to do is 3 4 craft something, and then on Monday morning you guys can edit 5 it. MR. DUFFY: Okay. 6 7 MS. SHARP: Fantastic. We can edit it together. THE COURT: 8 9 MS. REVEILLE: Thank you, Your Honor. MS. SHARP: Thank you. 10 11 THE COURT: But today, for purposes of your questioning, I don't think -- there's no reason to ask about 12 13 it; correct? MS. REVEILLE: Correct, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. I think you got your list now. 15 16 MS. SHARP: Your Honor, one question from the 17 plaintiffs about the replacement jurors. We just got the list, and it appears that one of the 18 19 jurors who's coming in is not the first or second group of 20. In other words, not the first 40 jurors. It's Juror Number 49, 20 21 Mr. Toole. And if we could have a little bit -- I know I asked for 22 extra time. If we could have a little bit more time, because 23 we have not yet kind of really run him through our process 24 25 because we anticipated he would come in tomorrow, on Friday, if

```
at all.
 1
                         Okay. I mean, they're not going to be
 2
              THE COURT:
    here before 9:00.
 3
              MS. SHARP: All right. So we'll take some time.
 4
 5
          Your Honor, for the record, the plaintiffs would note that
     Juror Number 39 -- excuse me, 49, who I just referenced,
 6
 7
    Mr. Toole, is not in either group. So we would like to place
     an objection on the record to bringing him in, in this first
 8
     two pools today, to preserve that, because it's not part of the
 9
     random order that we had anticipated.
10
11
              THE COURT: All right. I don't even know what's going
         He's the second group, so we'll figure it out.
12
13
              MS. SHARP: Actually, Your Honor, according to this
     list, he's coming in in the first group, the first group of 20,
14
15
    Mr. Toole is. That's why we're raising it.
16
              THE COURT:
                          I see.
              MR. COWDEN: Your Honor, this is Marc Cowden. How do
17
     we tell which of these jurors are the ones tested positive for
18
     the COVID symptoms?
19
20
              THE COURT:
                         I don't think you can.
                         I think it says, page 2, Number 18 it says
21
              THE CLERK:
     "SS 5/19. Shows symptoms."
22
23
                          "Shows symptoms."
              THE COURT:
              MR. COWDEN: For example, Number 18 would be one of
24
25
            Got it.
                     Thank you.
     them.
```

1 (Recess taken at 8:48 a.m.) (Proceedings resumed at 8:58 a.m.) 2 THE COURT: Mr. Duffy, you had a question? 3 MR. DUFFY: Yes, Your Honor. We were studying the 4 5 replacements, and I think there might be just a question that I have. If you go to Juror Number 50, Ms. Phyllis Louise Press, 6 it says she's replacing number 38. I think she's replacing 7 number 33. 8 I think we're going in sequential order, right, for 9 replacements? 10 That would seem, yes, number 33. I don't 11 THE COURT: I don't know. Unfortunately for you, this is my first 12 know. COVID trial. 13 Unfortunately for us, too, in a way. 14 MR. DUFFY: 15 THE COURT: I haven't seen. I do, Ms. Sharp, have the answer as to Mr. Toole, Juror 16 17 Number 49. 18 MS. SHARP: Yes. He was the next on the list to replace a 19 THE COURT: 20 person from -- it was from the 8:00 a.m. group. 21 MS. SHARP: Uh-huh. 22 And they couldn't take someone from the THE COURT: 23 10:00 a.m. group and push them to the 8:00 a.m. group -right? -- because people had already been told what time to 24 25 appear. So he's the next on the list, so that's why he's

```
coming in to the 8:00 a.m. group.
 1
                         Understood. Thank you, Your Honor.
 2
              MS. SHARP:
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Got it. And these folks will be coming in
 3
     the 10:00 o'clock grouping, Ms. Press and Ms. Sekine?
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          The people with green. Do you have the
     colored list?
 6
 7
              MR. DUFFY:
                         Yeah, I do.
                          The people in green are in our 10:00 a.m.
              THE COURT:
 8
     list.
 9
              MR. DUFFY:
                          10:00 a.m.
10
11
              THE COURT:
                         So Mr. Toole is the only one --
                         Who's in the 8:00 a.m. group.
12
              MR. DUFFY:
                         -- who's in the 8:00 a.m.
13
              THE COURT:
                          And he takes Number 18 spot. Very well.
14
              MR. DUFFY:
              MS. SHARP:
                          And so, Your Honor, the question for us
15
16
     that flows from that is where Mr. Toole --
                          Oh, where is he, that is the question.
17
              THE COURT:
                         In the line of peremptories.
              MS. SHARP:
18
                          No, I understand. I see.
19
              THE COURT:
                          I think my question, Your Honor, then is,
20
              MR. DUFFY:
     according to the document it says he's replacing Number 18.
21
22
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
                         So he's in the first. And he's here
23
              MR. DUFFY:
     because he's in the 8:00 a.m. group.
24
25
              THE COURT:
                          The question is, what letter has he been
```

```
PROCEEDINGS
```

```
given?
             That's the question. I quess we'll see --
 1
 2
              MS. SHARP:
                          That's one question.
              THE COURT:
                         -- when he gets here.
 3
          I think, you know, it's all random.
 4
 5
          (Pause)
              THE COURT: Mr. Duffy, the answer to your question is
 6
     the people who say "SS 5/20" they self-screened this morning so
 7
     they have not been replaced. So that's why the other one says
 8
     replacing Number 38, because they self-screened yesterday and
 9
     were able to be replaced. So we will have fewer than 40 people
10
11
     today.
12
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Okay.
                         As to Mr. Toole, we can either put him at
13
              THE COURT:
     the very -- as the last number 20 or we could put him Number
14
15
     18.
16
              MR. DUFFY:
                          I think 18 he replaces the juror that was
17
     symptomatic.
18
              THE COURT: And the plaintiffs don't want that.
                          We think 20, given the Court's ruling on
19
              MS. SHARP:
20
     how peremptories work. And we will reserve on the question
21
     whether we would like to reserve the peremptories until after
     the second group, depending on how things go this morning.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                          I think normally -- if we were normal
     times, they would just come at the end, be the next person.
24
                                                                   So
25
    he'll be our number 20.
```

```
What is the 20th letter of the alphabet? T.
 1
                                                         He'll be our
 2
     letter T. Well, except that we may not have 20, so.
              MS. SHARP: Your Honor, may I make a suggestion I
 3
     think, too, make things easier on the seating chart for all of
 4
 5
          I would suggest we make Mr. Tool letter M so he replaces
 6
     old Number 18. And that keeps the seating chart intact for
 7
     everybody. But for purposes of peremptories, either he's
     Number 20 or reserve on the question of whether we want him to
 8
     be considered later in the line.
 9
          Is that acceptable? So just for administrative purposes
10
11
     just to put him in seat M instead of readjusting our whole
12
     seating chart.
13
              THE COURT:
                         No objection?
              MR. DUFFY:
                         I don't care.
14
15
              THE COURT:
                         Great.
16
              MR. DUFFY:
                         Fine.
17
              THE COURT:
                          I'm not a hundred percent confident that
18
     they're going to come in with letters that match this.
          (Laughter)
19
                         Sounds like Sesame Street.
20
              MR. DUFFY:
                         Best-laid plans.
21
              MS. SHARP:
22
                          I think Ms. Means is going to be bringing
              THE COURT:
23
     our jury pool in.
          (Prospective jurors enter courtroom at 9:12 a.m.)
24
25
              THE COURT:
                          Counsel, you may be seated.
```

Good morning. I am standing -- I have this thing here -- so you can see me.

My name is Jacqueline Scott Corley. I'm a magistrate judge here in the Northern District of San Francisco. This is federal court. You're in federal court in San Francisco.

First, I want to sincerely thank you for being here today and for showing up for jury service.

Since last March, 2020, the Court had to shut down all our jury trials. In fact, even criminal, criminal trials as well.

We started and had, I think, a couple just in October, and then we had to shut down again because of the surge.

We recently have started jury trials again because, of course, it is so important; it is a bedrock off our democracy, the civil and criminal trial proceedings.

As you know from the questionnaire, you're here today for a civil jury trial and to select our jury for the jury trial.

But, again, I want to thank you for coming during these extraordinary, extraordinary times.

Just for your comfort level, we have now, I think, completed three or four jury trials just in the past month. We are only doing, in this particular courthouse, only two at a time so that we can make sure the elevators aren't too crowded.

The jury room is next door, in another courtroom this size so that everyone can socially distance.

We are maintaining the mask policy consistent with the

state of California's directives at the moment. So you'll have to wear masks, at all times unless you're eating or drinking.

I just want to advise you that everyone you see here, this side of the bench, has been fully vaccinated. So the lawyers, everyone has been fully vaccinated.

And if at any time today, or you're fortunate enough to be selected for the jury, you see something that makes you uncomfortable, please, please, alert us. Our safety and your comfort is our highest priority.

So the first step today is we're going to be selecting ten people for our jury. And what this process is called is the voir dire examination.

And the purpose of voir dire is to find out if any of you have some experiences, background, beliefs that make you not an appropriate person for serving on the jury. Not that you're a bad person or you're biased or prejudiced, just that you have some experiences, values, beliefs, perhaps, that make you not a good fit for this trial.

So as a result of this process this morning, some of you will be excused.

For your planning purposes, we do expect and hope to have the jury selected by 1:00 p.m. That's our hope anyway.

So we are going to begin by having Ms. Means swear all of you in.

THE CLERK: Can I ask you to all please stand and

raise your right hand. 1 (Oath administered to the prospective jurors.) 2 THE COURT: Okay. You can be seated. 3 Now that you're under oath, we're going to be asking you 4 5 some questions. I just have a few because, of course, you 6 already filled out that detailed questionnaire. And then the 7 lawyers for each side are going to have the opportunity to question you, as well, to follow-up on some of your answers. 8 To begin with, I want to introduce -- I already introduced 9 myself, and I want to introduce my staff. 10 11 Ada Means is our courtroom deputy. Katherine Sullivan is one of our court reporters. And we have another one, Marla 12 Knox. And then I have a law clerk, Caroline Jacobs. 13 Here's the first question. It's going to be really hard. 14 15 Do any of you know me or any of these people? Just raise your 16 hand. 17 No. Okay. Great. Now, even though you did answer questions about whether 18 you knew lawyers, in your questionnaire, I'm going to have them 19 20 introduce themselves again so you can put names to faces. And we'll start with the plaintiffs. 21 Good morning, everyone. My name is Dena 22 MS. SHARP: 23 I represent the plaintiffs in this case. I would like to introduce my team. Amy Zeman, is my 24

co-counsel. She represents the plaintiffs too. This is Adam

25

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

Polk, from my law firm; Nina Gliozzo, from my law firm; and Sonia Chopra, who is working with us today.

Thank you for being here.

MS. REVEILLE: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Kristine Reveille, and I represent the defendant, Chart, along with my colleagues John Duffy, Brian Edelman, and Marc Cowden. Nice to meet you all.

THE COURT: All right. Now that you have seen most of their faces, do any of you know any of these lawyers?

No. Okay. Great.

So I just want to remind you again of what the schedule is going to be. A court day -- and trial will actually begin with opening statements and evidence on Monday. We'll begin at 8:30 a.m., and we plan on ending around 1:30 p.m., maybe a little bit later if we have a witness on the stand, so that the witness doesn't have to come back the next day.

We have a couple short breaks, but we don't take a lunch break. We want to maximize the amount of time that you spend in the courthouse actually hearing evidence, so we're not going to take a lunch break. And, also, then you don't have to have your mask off, either, for that.

We will be going, next week, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday; but no trial on Friday, which is the Friday of the Memorial Day weekend. The following week, because Monday's a holiday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday. And then again

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the following week we expect that the case will be given to the jury for its deliberations by Friday. That is our goal. course, sometimes trials take a little bit longer and may spill over into a little bit of the following week. Now, you all already answered questions about your availability, but having heard that again, do any of you find it impossible -- not inconvenient; we all understand it's a tremendous inconvenience and great service to be here -- but impossible to serve on the jury during that period? No one. Oh, one person. All right. So here's -- yeah, you can come forward, and there's a microphone here. When you speak, you'll each come forward. So, first, just say your name. And do you know your jury number or your letter? If you can remember what it is. **PROSPECTIVE JUROR HAMILTON:** My number is 102861855. THE COURT: What letter are you sitting at? You're Ms. Hamilton? PROSPECTIVE JUROR HAMILTON: So it's ---(Microphone issues resolved off the record.) THE COURT: All right. Ms. Hamilton, if you could just speak slowly. PROSPECTIVE JUROR HAMILTON: Thank you. So I'm a school nurse. One of my schools is nearby. work at two elementary schools. And it's the pandemic time,

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

and we are in a very short situation to run transition meeting with kids with severe health condition. My substitute has quit, and I have to run this in the upcoming week. I mean take care of their needs, the family needs and the child's needs. So that's my situation.

THE COURT: Okay. Great. Thank you. Thank you very much. So you can have a seat there. Thank you.

Okay. All right. So now what we're going to do is we're going to start with the plaintiffs, the lawyers will ask you some questions, and then defense, each for a total of not more than 30 minutes.

Some of these questions, if any of you -- I should let you know, a trial is a public proceeding. We're not allowing the public into the courtroom because we limit the number of people that can be here, and that number isn't high enough to allow members of the public to come in.

In order to, therefore, make public access to these proceedings, it is being played by audio. So it is available to the public by audio, including jury selection this morning.

If there is any question that you are asked, that you're not comfortable answering among all of us, and also knowing that it's being available by audio, just let us know and we'll take your -- speak to you later more privately.

All right. Ms. Sharp, would you like to begin?

MS. SHARP: Good morning, again.

Thank you, all, for being here. Again, my name is Dena Sharp. And we're very grateful that you've all come here, hopefully, at the tail end of the pandemic.

This is a time in these proceedings for brutal honesty.

Please don't worry about my feelings or our feelings, anyone else's. There are really no right or wrong answers, only honest ones. This is what the process is all about.

This is not about whether you're a fair person, as

Judge Corley said. I have no doubt that you are all fair

people. This is about whether there may be some life

experiences that would affect your ability to be entirely

neutral or entirely impartial juror in this case with these

issues.

Thank you, all, for completing the questionnaire. That will help this process move along a lot more efficiently. I have a few additional questions for the whole group, to start out with, then I may have some individual follow-ups for you based on your questionnaire responses.

We're going to try to move quickly. As Judge Corley said, we don't have a lot of time. This is a little bit like speed dating. I'm lucky enough to be old enough that I didn't have to do speed dating, but I understand it's a pretty good way to share information quickly, figure out if people are a good match for each other. So we're going to move along as quickly as we can.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As you know, this case involves issues which many people have very strong opinions about. That is the use of technologies known as in vitro fertilization, or IVF, or assisted reproductive technology, ART, to retrieve or harvest eggs from women for later use, or to create fertilized embryos for later implantation. Some of you have expressed that because of religious, moral, political, or just personal beliefs and experiences you're opposed to those kinds of procedures, and because of those beliefs you would not be able to be a fair and impartial juror in this cause. Now, I'm not trying to put anybody on the spot, but in the interest of time we're going to jump right in. And I'm going to start with you, Ms. Nishimoto, in seat C and ask you a few questions. May I ask you to come up to the microphone, please. THE COURT: Perfect, yeah, that one. MS. SHARP: Thank you. Good morning. How are you? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Good. How are you? MS. SHARP: Good. Thank you for being here. So on your questionnaire you told us that you have a negative opinion of the use of IVF; is that right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Sure.

	MS.	SHARP:	And	you	also	said	tha	t yc	u c	lisapp	rov	ved	of
married	or pa	artnered	. cou	ples	using	J IVF	to	have	a	child	l ar	nd	that
you also	disa	approve	of s	ingle	wome	en usi	ing	IVF	to	have	a d	chi	ld.
Is that	right	:?											

**PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO:** I think "disapprove" is a strong word, but when I was answering the questionnaire at the time, yeah.

MS. SHARP: Fair enough.

You also told us you have a negative opinion of women choosing to harvest or store their eggs to have children when they're older, and you have a negative views of the use of sperm donors.

Thank you, so much for your honest answers. I want to say to everybody that there are a number of people who feel exactly the same way you do, and that's part of the process as well.

You also agreed with the statement on the questionnaire that using assisted reproductive technology or IVF is unnatural or like playing God. Is that right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Sure.

MS. SHARP: Along the same lines, when asked if there were any ethical, religious, political, or other beliefs that would prevent you from being an impartial juror in a case like this you did say, "Yes, religious beliefs"; right?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Correct.

MS. SHARP: You were also asked if you feel, if chosen

1	to serve, that you can fairly and impartially decide this case
2	based on the evidence at trial and instructions on the law
3	and you were honest; thanks for that and said no because of
4	your religious beliefs; right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Correct.
6	MS. SHARP: Again, thank you for that. That's what
7	the process is all about.
8	I'm assuming your religious beliefs have not changed from
9	when you filled out the questionnaire?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Yeah.
11	MS. SHARP: You still believe you cannot be an
12	entirely fair and impartial juror in this case because the case
13	involves IVF?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Correct.
15	MS. SHARP: And this is based on your strongly held
16	religious views; is that true?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Uh-huh.
18	MS. SHARP: Thanks very much, Ms. Nishimoto. That's
19	all I have for now.
20	Okay. Next we're going to ask Ms. Rowe, Juror Number 20,
21	in seat O to come on up.
22	Good morning, Ms. Rowe.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Good morning.
24	MS. SHARP: How are you?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Good. Thanks.

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

happened, so.

MS. SHARP: Good. On your questionnaire, Ms. Rowe, in response -- excuse me, we asked: "Is there anything not already covered by this questionnaire that might affect your ability to be a fair and impartial juror?" And you wrote: "I was my mother's support during a very stressful time with her sibling. Frivolous lawsuit was filed by unscrupulous attorneys supporting baseless claims, and it never should have happened." PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: That's correct. MS. SHARP: Your mother was sued by her sibling, and you believe that was a baseless claim; right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: It was. MS. SHARP: And you were part of that situation and saw your mother's distress firsthand? PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Yes. MS. SHARP: And you now believe that because of that personal, very emotional experience that you could not be a fair and impartial juror in this case where the plaintiffs are suing for money damages? Did I get that right? PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: I mean, you know, bias, I don't really have any concerns with the case, what it's about. I just think it has to do with previous experience with mediation and attorneys and what really should never have

MS. SHARP: Sure. And it sounds like that -- that

```
experience is going to be at least in the back of your mind --
 1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Yes, uh-huh.
 2
              MS. SHARP: -- in trying to be impartial; is that
 3
     true?
 4
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Probably, yes.
              MS. SHARP: That circumstance was a little too close
 6
 7
     to home, maybe a little too emotional?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Yes.
 8
              MS. SHARP: Is that fair?
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Correct.
10
11
              MS. SHARP: Okay. You also answered the
     questionnaire -- let's see. You were asked whether you've
12
13
    heard of the case, and you wrote: "I recall hearing about a
     couple upset because there was an issue with the freezer
14
15
    housing their eggs."
              MS. REVEILLE: Objection, Your Honor. I think this
16
17
     could be heard in the back.
18
              THE COURT: This could be heard in the back?
              MR. DUFFY:
                         It's pretrial publicity, Your Honor.
19
              THE COURT:
                         I think just ask her about --
20
              MS. SHARP:
21
                         Sure.
22
              THE COURT: Yeah, fair enough. Just ask her about if
23
     she's heard about the case and if she could put anything that
     she read aside.
24
25
              MS. SHARP: Have you heard about this case? Do you
```

```
know one way or the other?
 1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: I wrote what I had heard, and
 2
     I don't know if that is the case, but that is what I have heard
 3
    before.
 4
 5
              MS. SHARP:
                          Sure.
                                 And what opinions have you formed,
     if any, about what happened in this case?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: I don't know that I have an
 7
     opinion. It's just I was honestly answering if I had heard
 8
     something, and I recall hearing what I wrote.
 9
              MS. SHARP: And we appreciate that. Sometimes the
10
11
     lawyers will object; we'll have a little exchange. But not to
     worry; that's us just being lawyers.
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: That's okay.
13
14
              MS. SHARP:
                          Thank you.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: I'm trying to say it
15
16
    neutrally.
17
          (Laughter)
18
              MS. SHARP: So are we. All right.
          Now, coming back to the -- coming back to IVF and your
19
20
     views on IVF, in your questionnaire you also told us that you
21
    have negative views about women harvesting eggs for use when
22
     they're older and negative views about using sperm donors for
23
     IVF. Did I get that right?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: That's correct.
24
25
              MS. SHARP: And knowing this case involves some women
```

who have harvested eggs for use when they're older, would you
agree that your personal beliefs against this practice would
prevent you from being entirely impartial or neutral in a case
with these issues?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Sure.
MS. SHARP: You also said you believe that using IVF
to conceive is unnatural or like playing God. Is that right?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Yes.
MS. SHARP: So, again, because this case is all about
the use of IVF, and then adding in your experience with your
mother, are you telling us that this is maybe just not the
right case for you?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Yes.
MS. SHARP: Would you agree that my side, the
plaintiffs, would start out with a disadvantage because of your
strong beliefs?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Sure.
MS. SHARP: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Wait. Ms. Rowe, before you go.
PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: Yes.
THE COURT: You said "Sure." You sort of hesitated a
little bit. "Sure."
PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: I also wrote I mean, the
questions preceding that asked more about my feelings about
IVF. And I know people, and I have no opinions about that. I

```
think it's perfectly fine. It's their choice. And it's just my personal belief, being an older person, I wouldn't do that for myself. And so that's -- some of the questions were to piece it out, and, yeah.
```

THE COURT: So the question is, in this case, regardless of your own beliefs and what you would choose to do for yourself, would you be able to follow all my instructions and, as Ms. Sharp said, be fair to the plaintiffs, or do you think they would be starting a little bit behind?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: I could be fair. Yes, I
could be fair.

THE COURT: And I guess my other question is, this lawsuit, of course, is not a probate action. It has nothing to do with siblings. These lawyers, I assume, were not involved in that case whatsoever. Is it your belief that all lawsuits are frivolous?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: No.

THE COURT: So do you think, based on that experience that you had, very negative experience in particular with lawyers, that you could not hold -- that you would hold that experience against either side in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: No.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. SHARP: One follow-up question for you, if I may,

25 Ms. Rowe.

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What are your thoughts about people seeking money damages for the loss of eggs or embryos that they've harvested? PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: I don't have an opinion on that. MS. SHARP: No opinion. Thank you very much. PROSPECTIVE JUROR ROWE: You're welcome. MS. SHARP: All right. Next I would like to call on Ms. Low, Juror Number 22, in seat Q. Good morning, Ms. Low. PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Good morning. MS. SHARP: On your questionnaire you wrote, in response to a question about whether there are ethical, religious, political, or other beliefs that may prevent you from serving as a juror, you wrote: "Yes. I am not entirely sure my religious beliefs would allow me to be impartial." So you said you weren't sure your religious beliefs would allow you to be impartial. Why is that, if I may ask? PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: I guess I feel a little conflicted. MS. SHARP: Can you tell us more about that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Uhm, well, I like to think that I try to be an open-minded person and open to all things, especially as a teacher. But I just -- I have a little concern that -- that this particular issue is difficult for me. MS. SHARP: When you say "this particular issue," are

you referring to IVF and the use of those technologies?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Yeah. Not IVF, but what's the
other one, ART?

MS. SHARP: That's right. That's right. I'm going to use those terms interchangeably, which might be a little imprecise, but people are comfortable with both terms, I hope.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Uh-huh.

MS. SHARP: So is what you're telling us that your feelings but ART or IVF may be in the back of your mind no matter what the evidence may show?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: I've tried to, you know, really think about it, and I -- I don't know. I guess the -- like I said, I try to be open-minded, so I guess that's the best answer I could give you.

MS. SHARP: And we very much appreciate that. In response to another question on the questionnaire, that asked do you feel, if chosen to serve, you can fairly and impartially decide this case based on the evidence presented at trial and the instructions on the law given by the judge you wrote: "No same reason as stated above; religion."

Now, as you stand here today, do you believe that your religious views will prevent you from being able to be an impartial juror in this case with these issues?

**PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW:** I guess the best answer I could give is I'm not sure until I heard it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Absolutely fair. Thank you very much. MS. SHARP: Now, in addition to those who I've already spoken to about this, does anyone else have any religious, philosophical, or political views against people harvesting and freezing eggs and embryos for future use? And may I see a show of hands, please. Anyone else have those kinds of feelings? Record reflects no hands raised in response to this question. Now I want to ask the whole group a slightly different question about IVF. Some people have some reservations or concerns, maybe even negative impressions about the companies that provide egg and embryo harvesting and storage services for money. How many of you share that opinion? If I may see hands. Anyone have negative feelings about the companies that provide those services? No hands. Okay. Now, some people think if a woman cannot have a child biologically or naturally they should explore other options, like adoption, instead of IVF. How many of you feel that same way? How many? Ms. Hamilton, I see your hand. That's juror -- the juror in seat P as in Peter. Anyone else have hands up?

```
1
          Thank you.
          Next question. I do not need to pry into anybody's
 2
     personal beliefs, but the issue of abortion may come up in this
 3
     trial. Can I see a show of hands, please, of who here would
 4
 5
     consider themselves to be against abortion for moral,
     religious --
 6
 7
              MS. REVEILLE: Objection, Your Honor. It won't be
     raised at this trial.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Having heard that, Ms. Sharp, do you wish
     to still ask the question?
10
11
              MS. SHARP: We do, yes, Your Honor.
                         All right. Go ahead.
12
              THE COURT:
13
              MS. SHARP:
                          Thank you.
          Who here would consider themselves to be against abortion
14
15
     for moral, religious, philosophical, or personal reasons?
16
          Okay. Thank you. I see one hand. Any other hands?
17
          All right. Ms. Fredricksen. May I ask you to come to the
     microphone, if you would.
18
          Thank you. Could you tell us a little bit more about your
19
     feelings on abortion.
20
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: Mostly it refers to
     late-term abortion.
22
23
              MS. SHARP: Late-term abortion.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: I have issues with
24
25
     that.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

And would your views on late -- would your MS. SHARP: views on late-term abortion have any impact on your ability to listen to the evidence and to listen to the judge's instructions about the law? PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: No, I don't think so. MS. SHARP: You don't think it would be in the back of your mind when you're considering how to decide the case? **PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN:** I don't know. I mean, obviously at the back of my mind. That's a very fair response. MS. SHARP: Sure. I think that's all for now. Thank you very much. more question on that. If -- well, strike that. All right. I'm going to change topics now. In this case we're also alleging -- well, we are alleging that a cryogenic freezer tank that Chart manufactured and sold to Pacific Fertility Center was defective and malfunctioned. Some people, when they hear about claims that a product was defective or malfunctioned, their first thought is there must have been user error involved. Right? In other words, the person using the product or device probably did something wrong to cause the problem. Who here shares that view, even just a little bit? Even a little bit, user error is often involved.

Oh, we have one hand. Please keep your hand up, just for

a moment. We'll note your name. Dr. Meldorf in seat A as in

apple.

Anyone else? Thank you. I'm going ask you a series of questions.

I'm sorry, we've got one other. Yes, Mr. Hanson. Thank you. In seat K.

**PROSPECTIVE JUROR EWING:** Can I ask you to repeat the question.

MS. SHARP: Absolutely. Happy to do that. Some people, when they hear claims that a product was defective or malfunctioned, their first thought is it must have been user error involved.

And so the question for you is, who shares that view even a little bit? In other words, a person using a product or device probably did something wrong to cause the problems with that.

Okay. Ms. Ewing in seat J.

MS. SHARP: Anyone else?

Now, how many of you think if a product is defective or malfunctioning a responsible consumer, a smart consumer would always be able to find that out ahead of time? Can I see a show of hands, please. Smart consumer could find that out ahead of time.

Who here shares the belief that if a product is truly faulty or defective it would be common knowledge; there would be media coverage or you would have heard about it before?

Anyone share that view?

I've also heard people say if a company is really putting out a defective product, they wouldn't be in business anymore. So the fact that the company is still in operation must mean they haven't doing anything wrong or there's nothing wrong with their products. Can I see a show of hands of who agrees with that.

All right. I'm going to change gears just a little bit here and I will call on you, Dr. Meldorf, if I may, to come up to the microphone.

Good morning, Dr. Meldorf.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: Good morning.

MS. SHARP: You said in your questionnaire that your medical training gives familiarity with IVF. Have you ever performed or participated in those types of procedures?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: No.

MS. SHARP: No. And you raised your hand when we talked about user error just a second ago.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: Yes.

MS. SHARP: Can you tell me a little bit more about your views on that.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: Sure. I've worked in the biotech/pharma industry over 20 years now, and I've been involved with safety reports and product issues and had to evaluate those. 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### JURY VOIR DIRE

So it all gets down to it depends. There's a possibility of someone not behaving in the right way and not using something appropriately that results in adverse outcome. MS. SHARP: Thank you. And you also said in your questionnaire that you strongly agree most lawsuits are about people looking for deep pockets. Why do you think that? **PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF:** This is my own, probably, personal bias given my experience working in large pharma and biotech that quite often people are looking to find a way to make some money from frivolous lawsuits. Doesn't happen all the time, but it does happen. MS. SHARP: And do you start out thinking that's what's happening in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: No. Not necessarily. Ιt depends. I would have to hear all the information. MS. SHARP: Fair enough. You also said in your questionnaire you agree lawsuits against product manufacturers unfairly drives up consumer Because of that view, do you start out thinking this case is unfair and likely to drive up costs? **PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF:** Not necessarily.

again, I'd have to hear all the information.

Thank very much, Doctor. MS. SHARP:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: Sure.

Next I would like to call Dr. Hanson, if I 1 MS. SHARP: 2 may, please. Good morning, Doctor. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: Good morning. 4 5 MS. SHARP: In the questionnaire -- well, let me start with user error. I think you raised your hand about user error 6 as well. Can you tell us about your views on that, please. 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: Absolutely. I'm a nuclear 8 chemist, and I'm currently working for a company licensing a 9 advanced nuclear reactor with the NRC. 10 11 So I'm heavily involved with safety analysis and, you know, root cause of errors. And so I took the question to be a 12 general question about when there's a failure are their human 13 elements to it, and I think almost always there are. 14 MS. SHARP: And I take it that you have conducted 15 16 failure analyses in your career? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: I have. MS. SHARP: And, if so, are the vulnerabilities that 18 were exposed by those failure analyses, in your experience, 19 communicated to the customers of those products? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: I don't understand the 21 question. Can you repeat that. 22 23 MS. SHARP: Let me try it again. **PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON:** Okay. 24 MS. SHARP: The question is, if you have conducted a 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
failure analysis and it revealed a vulnerability in a product,
the question is, would that vulnerability be exposed to the
customers of that product necessarily, in your experience?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: Uhm, yes, I think so.
                    Okay. Have any of the companies you've
        MS. SHARP:
worked for ever been sued over a design or a product defect?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON:
                                   No.
        MS. SHARP: Because of your experience, would you tend
to see the case through the lense of the product manufacturer,
do you think?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: I would have no opinion
about that, I guess. I think I could see it through both
lenses.
        MS. SHARP: You don't think you'd identify a little
bit more on the side of the product manufacturer?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: Not necessarily. You know,
you mean in terms of a positive bias?
     No, I think, you know, I would be very critical of any
failure analysis, you know. Of the product, you know. And I
would be supportive of the product, though, of the technology
that went forward, you know, that supported the product.
                     Thank you very much.
         MS. SHARP:
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON:
                                    Sure.
        MS. SHARP: Now, many people have strong beliefs about
people who file lawsuits for money damages? They think people
```

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

are too quick to sue; lawsuits are just about deep pockets.

But before that I want to talk a little bit about the types of monetary damages, the compensation being sought in this lawsuit.

The people who have brought this lawsuit are seeking financial compensation for the loss of frozen eggs and embryos; and there are two types of damages that they're allowed to recover.

The first is the loss of property. May seem strange, maybe even a little bit callous to refer to eggs and embryos as property. I don't mean to offend anyone by doing so. I'm just going by what the law says.

The plaintiffs can seek to recover for the loss of the money that they spent engaging in the IVF process and the storage egg fees and the financial value of the loss and the end result.

Now, the second kind of damages that the plaintiffs are allowed to seek compensation for is emotional distress, mental suffering that they experienced as a result of the experience of losing the eggs and embryos.

Now, some people may think it doesn't make sense or it's not right to ask for monetary compensation for the loss of eggs or embryos because they're not actual children; they are not human lives.

Who here shares that opinion even just a little bit?

JURY VOIR DIRE They're not human lives. Anyone? 1 Other people say because of the IVF -- I should say no 2 hands were raise raised. 3 Other people say because the IVF process is so uncertain 4 5 or speculative, they would not be able or willing to award money damages for the loss of eggs or embryos. Who agrees? 6 Α 7 show of hands, please. Couldn't award damages for those losses. 8 Now, there are also people -- and let the record reflect 9 no hands were raised. 10 There are also people who just don't agree with the whole 11 concept of awarding money for things like emotional distress, 12 mental suffering. 13 When it comes to hard costs like medical bills they agree, 14 15 but they think emotional distress or mental suffering is too 16 subjective and even that it's too often abused in our system. 17 Let's see a show of hands, please, how many of you have concerns with the idea or the concept of awarding money damages 18 for emotional distress and mental suffering? Who has a problem 19 20 with that idea? 21 No hands are raised. MS. REVEILLE: Your Honor, I believe time has elapsed. 22 23 THE COURT: I'm watching the clock. You're almost at

five minutes.

MS. SHARP:

Thank you.

24

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

Juror Number 9, Ms. Mahoney, if I may call you up very 1 2 quickly. Good morning. Ms. Mahoney, you wrote that you strongly 3 agree most lawsuits are about people looking for deep pockets. 4 5 Can you tell us why you think that's so? PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAHONEY: It's no personal 6 7 experience; it's just media. It's just what I thought of when I filled it out quickly. 8 MS. SHARP: Sure. And based on what you've heard so 9 far, do you think that's what's happening in this case? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAHONEY: 11 MS. SHARP: You also said you strongly agree that 12 lawsuits against product manufacturers unfairly drive up 13 consumer costs. What caused you to form that opinion? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAHONEY: Again, nothing personal, 15 but -- I mean, like PG&E, all our PG&E prices have gone up even 16 17 though -- because of the fire in San Bruno. Everybody -- I 18 mean, that's what I'm trying to say. Nothing personal. MS. SHARP: Everybody can relate to that, I think. 19 And because of your views on that issue, would you say we 20 start out a little bit behind or disadvantaged because we're 21 22 suing a product manufacturer? 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAHONEY: No. 24 MS. SHARP: One more. Thank you very much. 25 Dr. Sutherland, Juror Number 13. Good morning.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: Good morning.
```

MS. SHARP: Dr. Sutherland, you have also been involved in research and development in your career. Have you had the opportunity to conduct any failure analyses in your work?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: Software failure.

MS. SHARP: Software failure.

**PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND:** But not physical devices.

MS. SHARP: All right. Thank you.

And given that experience, would you tend to see the case through the lens of a product manufacturer, do you think?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: No, but I would want to
see an accurate failure analysis.

MS. SHARP: Thank you. You also said you agreed most lawsuits are about people looking for deep pockets. Why do you think so?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: I think maybe "most
lawsuits" is too strong, but many appear to be.

MS. SHARP: Do you start out thinking that's what's happening in this case here?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: I have no basis to make
a judgment on.

MS. SHARP: Thank you.

You also said that you agree that lawsuits against product

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

```
manufacturers unfairly drive up consumer costs. How did you
 1
     form that opinion?
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: Oh, let's start with
 3
     the products liability textbook when I was in undergrad.
 4
 5
     all -- you're lawyers. You know all about the lawnmower
 6
     lawsuits, okay.
          So what I would say there is my opinion is that if the
 7
    manufacturer has really messed up, they should pay. And if the
 8
    user has really messed up, the manufacturer shouldn't pay. And
 9
     that's why I want to see a decent failure analysis.
10
11
              MS. SHARP: What about shared responsibility? What
     about a circumstance in which there may be more than one actor
12
13
     or person who's involved?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: That's a place where I
14
     would need instruction from the Court on how one is supposed to
15
16
     deal with that.
17
              MS. SHARP:
                         Understood.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: I --
18
              MS. SHARP:
                         Depends on --
19
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: I don't know what the
21
     law says, so I don't know how to deal with it.
22
                          Thank you very much, Doctor.
              MS. SHARP:
23
              THE COURT:
                          All right. Thank you.
                          Thank you, all.
24
              MS. SHARP:
              THE COURT: Ms. Reveille.
25
```

MS. REVEILLE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Members of the jury, just so you know, just to help keep things moving along, I give each side time limits for various things. So occasionally you may hear me, just like when you're taking a test or something and you get a warning of the time and things like that. You may hear that throughout the trial.

MS. REVEILLE: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. I introduced myself to you all earlier. My name is Kristine Reveille, and I represent the defendant, Chart, along with my partners and colleagues John Duffy, Brian Edelman, and Marc Cowden.

And this case involves a cryogenic freezer that Chart manufactured, that stored the plaintiffs' eggs and embryos at a fertility center called Pacific Fertility Center.

First and foremost, we would like to sincerely thank each and every one of you for taking the time, your valuable time, away from your personal and professional lives to fulfill your civic duty, especially during a pandemic.

If there was anything that was made clear by going through all of your questionnaires, it's that you all have very interesting things going on in your lives.

You're going to hear from the plaintiffs in this case, which are four women and one man, as they describe their fertility journeys prior to and following an incident that

occurred on March 4th, 2018.

Now, there is no question that those journeys have been physically and emotionally taxing on each and every one of those plaintiffs. And there is no question that hearing what they have all been through will be difficult and will elicit sympathy. These individuals did absolutely nothing wrong, and it is natural to empathize with them. I know as a young woman, myself, I certainly do.

So the question I have for each of you to think about is this: If you believe that the plaintiffs have been injured or harmed by this incident, but you believe that another party who is not at this trial, such as the fertility center, misused the cryogenic freezer and caused the harm, would you be able to send those plaintiffs away with no money damages?

I'd like you all to take a minute to think about that. If you believe they have a claim, they have been injured, but the party that caused that harm is not here at the trial, would you be able to send them away with nothing? Or would that be difficult for you? Would you hesitate?

Can you please raise your hand if that's something that would cause you to hesitate.

Let the record reflect no one has raised their hands.

Okay. I have some follow-up questions for each of you.

And, as Ms. Sharp, said we're short on time, so if we don't get to everyone then I apologize.

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First, we left off with Ms. Mahoney, Juror Let's see. Number 9. If you wouldn't mind returning to the microphone, I just have a couple of follow-up questions for you. Now, Ms. Mahoney, I see that you're a retired kindergarten teacher. PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAHONEY: Correct. MS. REVEILLE: And what do you do with your free time now that you're retired? PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAHONEY: Well, June 11th I'm going to teach summer school. But I have a pretty good life. Well, because of the pandemic, I'm home a lot. But I read, get dinner on the table, get the laundry done at a relaxed pace. MS. REVEILLE: Wonderful. So having heard, now, what I just said, how would sympathy, emotion, and empathy for these individuals impact you in this case if at all? PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAHONEY: I definitely have sympathy for people that lost their hopes and dreams of having a baby. MS. REVEILLE: You said that you understand they've lost their hopes and dreams from losing a baby? PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAHONEY: Yes. MS. REVEILLE: When you feel that way, do you feel like that would make it difficult for you to turn them away with nothing, when you believe that they have, in fact, lost their hopes and dreams due to the negligence of some party?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAHONEY: I don't know if -- I don't

```
know who should exactly have to pay for it, so I don't -- I'd
 1
     have -- I don't really understand the situation to know who
 2
     would need to -- if they have to be paid from people here, I
 3
     don't know.
 4
 5
              MS. REVEILLE: Do you feel that some party should have
     to pay for it because, otherwise, if no one pays for it in
 6
 7
     reality or in essence the plaintiffs are paying for it?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAHONEY:
 8
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. Thank you so much for your
 9
     candor, Ms. Mahoney.
10
11
          Okay.
                Let's see. Dr. Meldorf, Juror Number 1, if you
12
     would return, please. Thank you.
13
          Now, Dr. Meldorf, I know you have told us that you have
     worked in the biotech industry, and you have been involved in
14
15
     safety reports and products issues. Is that right?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: Correct.
              MS. REVEILLE: And it sounds like when you were
17
     talking about user error, whether or not that is involved, you
18
     said you would have to hear all of the information; right?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: Well, all of the
20
     information that's available, yes.
21
              MS. REVEILLE: All of the evidence, you would wait to
22
23
    hear all the evidence before making any decisions or
     formulating any opinions in this case; true?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF:
25
                                          Yes.
```

MS. REVEILLE: You would wait to hear all of the
evidence, you would follow the law the judge instructs you on
and you would deliberate with your fellow jurors to come to a
fair verdict; is that true?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: Correct.

MS. REVEILLE: A couple of follow-up questions about your work experience. Have you worked on a product in the biotech industry that had customer complaints?

**PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF:** Undoubtedly, but I haven't been on the front lines of that, in terms of having to deal with them personally, in my professional career.

MS. REVEILLE: And what was your role to the extent you had one at all?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: I've had multiple roles.

I worked at multiple companies, small biotech, large pharma.

I've primarily focused on clinical research, but I've also been involved with research that happens once a product is out on the market.

I've also worked on the safety side, so evaluating safety information as it comes in from products that are on the market. And, in addition, I worked with the regulatory group. So interacting with FDA and other global health authorities.

MS. REVEILLE: Now, did you have any involvement in investigating the customer complaints when there were customer complaints?

1 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF:** I wasn't personally involved, but I would hear the information. Any -- if a 2 product issue was raised, if there was a product complaint with 3 a fairly serious outcome, we would do, obviously, a very 4 5 thorough analysis. So I would hear information, and some of 6 that information undoubtedly had come from interactions either 7 with consumers or with physicians. MS. REVEILLE: And do you know, when those complaints 8 were investigated, do you know whether they were investigated 9 over email, at all, or whether emails were exchanged about the 10 11 investigation? PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: Knowing how we do that 12 13 type of investigation, I would assume that, yes, some of it was done over email. 14 MS. REVEILLE: Okay. And this is a question you might 15 16 have an opinion on; you might not. Just let me know. Would 17 you consider a product defect to be different than a 18 malfunction? And if so, why or why not? PROSPECTIVE JUROR MELDORF: So just shooting from the 19 20 hip here, a product defect would be some inherent issue with a 21 product, itself; whereas, a malfunction could occur because of 22 something inherently wrong with the product or one of the users 23 or something outside of the product itself.

MS. REVEILLE: Okay. Thank you very much,
Dr. Meldorf. I have nothing further for you. Thank you.

24

```
Let's see, Dr. Sutherland, Juror I, if you can please
 1
 2
     return.
          Okay. Doctor, welcome. I know that you were asked some
 3
     questions by Ms. Sharp about software failures. And I believe,
 4
 5
     if I heard you correctly, you said that you would be able to
     see the case -- both parties would start at the same starting
 6
 7
     line; true?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: That's right.
 8
              MS. REVEILLE: And you said that you have no basis to
 9
     make a judgment as to any of the parties in this case until you
10
11
    hear the evidence; true?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: That's also correct.
12
13
              MS. REVEILLE: You would listen to all of the
     evidence; true?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: Uh-huh.
15
16
              MS. REVEILLE: You would follow the judge's
17
     instructions on the law; true?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: Yes.
18
              MS. REVEILLE: And you would deliberate with your
19
     fellow jurors to come to a fair verdict?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: Absolutely.
21
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. Question for you with respect to
22
23
     your work experience. Well, what has your experience been in
    product development within that industry?
24
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: Okay. Let's see.
                                                                Ι
```

- 1	
	started out as a compiler back end developer. Did that for 15
	years. Went back to grad school, in software engineering, and
	moved sideways into, first, analysis of software and then
	security.
	MS. REVEILLE: And in your industry, are there
	circumstances where customers report malfunctions with the
	software? Is that something that occurs?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: Absolutely.
	MS. REVEILLE: And what responsibility does your team
	have when customers report a malfunction or a bug?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: My current team, we
	have only internal customers inside the company, so there's no
	consumer out there.
	But let's see. We operate the software and hardware
	that's the root trust in production for our company. And if we
	mess that up and a bad guy is able to own those systems, we
	have past evidence that the cost of that is likely to be
	measured in hundreds of millions of dollars.
	MS. REVEILLE: Understood.
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: Yeah. So we take it
	very seriously.
	MS. REVEILLE: And what responsibility does the
	customer have when product stops working properly?
	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: My customers, who are
	all internal are responsible for making sure that their

```
systems are correctly configured to use my team's stuff.
 1
     if they've configured it wrong, we tell them and tell them they
 2
    have to fix it.
 3
              MS. REVEILLE: So communication is very important
 4
 5
     sounds like.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SUTHERLAND: Uh-huh.
 6
 7
              MS. REVEILLE: All right. Thank you so much,
    Dr. Sutherland.
 8
          Juror Number 15, Dr. Hanson, a couple of follow-up
 9
     questions for you as well. Thank you.
10
11
          I understand, if I heard you right, you're a nuclear
     chemist; is that right?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON:
13
                                         Yes.
              MS. REVEILLE: Sounds like you're a data person and
14
15
     you find data very important; true?
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON:
                                         Yes.
              MS. REVEILLE: You said in situations when you find
17
     user error it's after you've analyzed the data in the failure
18
19
     analysis; right?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: Uhm, I'm presented with a
     failure, and I'm tasked with finding what the, I guess,
21
    physical -- the physics of how that failure happened. And so
22
23
     strictly in the technical part of the failure.
              MS. REVEILLE: Sure. So in this case you will wait to
24
```

hear all of the evidence before drawing any conclusions in the

1	case; true?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: True.
3	MS. REVEILLE: And you will listen to the Judge's
4	instructions and follow the law; true?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: Yes.
6	MS. REVEILLE: And then you will go back with your
7	fellow jurors and come back with a fair verdict; true?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: True.
9	MS. REVEILLE: A couple of follow-up questions about
10	your work experience.
11	Did I see that you led a team of ten in the development of
12	a chemical technology necessary to develop the molten chloride
13	fast reactor. Have I got that right?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: Yes.
15	MS. REVEILLE: And in that circumstance with the team
16	were there instances where you had to rely upon other people to
17	follow policies and communicate issues that they encountered?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: Yes.
19	MS. REVEILLE: Did you have circumstances where any
20	members of the team failed to do that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: No.
22	MS. REVEILLE: Sounds like you had a good team.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON: I had a good team.
24	MS. REVEILLE: Wonderful. Okay. Thank you so much
25	for your time. I appreciate it.

1 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR HANSON:** Thank you. 2 MS. REVEILLE: Let's see. Juror 17, Mr. McClung, in the back. Yes, Juror L. 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: I'm Mark. 4 5 MS. REVEILLE: Sorry, we're using letters not -- oh, Mark McClung. 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Okay. Letter L. MS. REVEILLE: Letter L, yes. I was referring to 17, 8 but we're using letters instead of numbers. Sorry about that. 9 Okay. Good morning. 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Good morning. MS. REVEILLE: Could you please tell us a little bit 12 13 about your work experience in product development. PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Repeat the question again. 14 MS. REVEILLE: Sure. The masks are so difficult. 15 16 Did I see correctly on your questionnaire that you're a 17 director of engineering? PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: That's correct. 18 MS. REVEILLE: And you've had some involvement in 19 product design? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Yes. Software product 21 design. 22 23 MS. REVEILLE: Can you tell us a little bit about what your experience has been in software product design. 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: I've been a career 25

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
     engineer since 1982, so --
 1
              MS. REVEILLE: You've had a lot of experience.
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Yeah. So I've spent five
 3
     years building control systems and automated -- factory
 4
 5
     automation systems. And I spent another 25 years building
     general purpose motion controllers or robotics.
 6
 7
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. And have you had occasions to
     experience product malfunctions in your industry?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Repeat the question,
 9
10
    please.
11
              MS. REVEILLE: Have you had occasions to experience
    product malfunctions in your industry?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: It's the nature of our
13
     business. There's errors all over the place, in requirements,
14
15
     in architecture, the design, the code, the test, the
     deployment, the application. There's, you know, an endless
16
17
     source of errors.
              MS. REVEILLE: And who are your customers?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG:
19
                                          Today?
20
              MS. REVEILLE: Currently, yes.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Currently, our customers
21
     are ventech (phonetic) firms that do predictive analytics.
22
```

MS. REVEILLE: And what responsibility do your

customers have if they determine that a product stops working

23

24

25

properly?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: They're involved from the very beginning, from the requirements. They guide everything, so we want to make sure we get it correct all the way through, so from day one.

MS. REVEILLE: So it's important that they communicate to you what they're seeing on their end?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Yes, yes. We don't want wait until the very end, yes. It's at the very beginning.

MS. REVEILLE: How do you decide if the problem should be escalated to senior leadership?

**PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG:** Repeat the question, please.

MS. REVEILLE: How do you decide if the problem should be escalated up, up the senior --

PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Yeah, usually there's a -well, at our company today there's an incident management
process. You know, so a customer may discover an issue, and so
typically it, you know, goes to our IT group, you know. And
they'll decide, you know, how -- you know, how serious the
issue is, how urgent it is. And if it's a serious and urgent
issue it gets escalated. So it might be a call has to be made
like, you know, whose problem is this? Is it in the IT
infrastructure? Is it in the software development? Is it in
the machine learning algorithm? You know, it goes through
these steps.

```
MS. REVEILLE: And discussions about this
 1
     investigation, whenever you have an equipment error like that,
 2
     does that occur over email?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: It can. Usually, if it's
 4
 5
     something serious, there's an all-hands meeting, you know, any
 6
    hour of the day.
 7
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: It's that important.
 8
              MS. REVEILLE: I think I saw you were a prior juror on
 9
     a trial before?
10
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Excuse me?
              MS. REVEILLE: Were you a prior juror on a trial
12
13
    before?
             Did I see that on your questionnaire?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: A fire?
14
15
              MS. REVEILLE: Were you a juror on another trial
16
    before?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: I'm sorry.
              THE COURT: Have you served on a jury before?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Oh, yes, long ago.
19
20
              MS. REVEILLE:
                            Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: I'm sorry.
21
              MS. REVEILLE: Were you the foreperson?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: This was a criminal trial.
23
24
              MS. REVEILLE:
                             Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Yeah, and, also -- well,
25
```

```
I'll let you ask questions.
 1
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. So if you were called to be a
 2
     juror in this case, would you listen to all the evidence?
 3
     that a yes?
 4
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: That is a yes.
              MS. REVEILLE: And would you follow the instructions
 6
 7
     that the Judge gives you on the law?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Yes.
 8
              MS. REVEILLE: And would you go back and deliberate
 9
     with your fellow jurors and come to a fair verdict?
10
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: Again, repeat that.
              MS. REVEILLE: Would you deliberate with your fellow
12
     jurors and come to a fair verdict?
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG: I believe I could, yes.
14
              MS. REVEILLE: Thank you so much. That's all we ask
15
16
     for.
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR McCLUNG:
                                          Sure.
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. Next I'd like to call, let's
18
     see, Ms. Nishimoto, which is Juror C or 4.
19
          Good morning.
                         Thank you, Ms. Nishimoto.
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Good morning.
21
              MS. REVEILLE: I just have a couple of follow-up
22
23
     Oquestions. I know Ms. Sharp asked you some questions earlier.
          So you mentioned that you have some negative opinions on
24
```

25

IVF, if I heard you correctly.

**PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO:** Yeah.

MS. REVEILLE: So the difficult part is that, you know, our experiences, our opinions, our beliefs shape us all. And that's what makes us who we are. And that's okay. We want the jurors -- we want all of you to come here with your experiences, your beliefs, and your opinions. That's what makes you unique.

That being said, it's important to say that the issues in this case, you know, the plaintiffs are not on trial relevant to why they did or didn't select IVF. The trial, the issues in the trial, as Ms. Sharp said, are whether our product was defective and whether it was misused.

So knowing that additional information, that those are going to be the issues that you're asked to decide, can you put aside your personal beliefs and listen to the evidence and start both parties at the same playing field at the same starting line?

prospective juror NISHIMOTO: I don't think I could
put aside my personal biases.

MS. REVEILLE: Well, can you listen to what the witnesses have to say when they get on the witness stand?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Yeah, but in the back of my mind I would probably be thinking about my personal and religious beliefs which would probably cloud my judgment.

MS. REVEILLE: If the Judge asks you to follow the

```
law, would you be able to do that?
 1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Yes.
 2
              MS. REVEILLE: And would you be able to listen to all
 3
     of the evidence and all of the law that the Judge gives you and
 4
 5
     then take that back to the jury room and deliberate with your
     jurors?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: I would be able to
 7
     listen.
 8
              MS. REVEILLE: And you would be able to follow the law
 9
     that the Judge tells you to follow?
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO:
11
              MS. REVEILLE: And then you would be able to apply the
12
13
     law to the facts that you've heard in the case and come to a
     fair verdict with your fellow jurors?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO:
15
                                           Yes.
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Nishimoto.
16
          Okay. How about, let's see, juror -- Juror Number D,
17
     Juror 5, D. Ms. Lorna Fredricksen. Thank you so much.
18
          Ms. Fredricksen, I don't think we got to hear much about
19
     your background. Did I see in the questionnaire that you work
20
     at a law firm?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: I used to.
22
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. What type of law firm was that?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: We did consumer class
24
     action work.
25
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
MS. REVEILLE:
                       Okay. And were you on the side of the
plaintiffs bringing the class actions or on the defense?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: Plaintiffs.
        MS. REVEILLE: How many years did you work at that law
firm?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: That particular law
firm was about ten years.
        MS. REVEILLE: Did you work at other firms doing
plaintiffs' class action work?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: Prior to that I did
plaintiffs' work in asbestos litigation.
        MS. REVEILLE: Okay. And in your consumer class
action cases, what types of cases were there?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: Usually, they were
cases against banks, credit cards.
        MS. REVEILLE: Okay. And what was your role in the
litigation?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: I was a paralegal.
worked with our class representatives, did a lot of discovery
work.
        MS. REVEILLE: Okay. Have you ever had any defective
product cases?
         PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN:
                                        No.
        MS. REVEILLE: Did I see in your questionnaire that
you're self-employed and that you may have some hardship?
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# JURY VOIR DIRE

PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: Only that I'm selling my insurance agency. It closes on June 1st, so this is my last week of owning it. MS. REVEILLE: I'm sorry, I don't think I heard the end there with the mask. PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: This is my last week of being the agency owner, so it's a little tricky. MS. REVEILLE: This is your last week, currently? PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: Yes. Well, next week is. MS. REVEILLE: Given the hours that the Judge stated that the court would be in session, would there be a way to work your last week of work around that? PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: Yes. I talked to the agency buyer, and she's willing to coordinate with me. MS. REVEILLE: Okay. And --THE COURT: That was very civic of you. (Laughter) PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: Yes, and her. THE COURT: We appreciate it. PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: Mostly her. MS. REVEILLE: And would you be able to listen to all of the evidence in this case? PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: MS. REVEILLE: And would you be able to follow the

1	Judge's instructions on the law?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: Yes.
3	MS. REVEILLE: And would you be able to go back with
4	your fellow jurors and come to a fair verdict?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR FREDRICKSEN: Yes.
6	MS. REVEILLE: Thank you so much, Ms. Fredricksen.
7	Okay. Let's see, Juror E, Ms. Cheung. Thank you.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: Yep.
9	MS. REVEILLE: Thank you. Did I see that you are an
10	accountant at Deloitte?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: Yes, I am.
12	MS. REVEILLE: And your husband is a physician.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: He is.
14	MS. REVEILLE: I think I saw, also, that he does some
15	cancer research; is that right?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: He has in the past. He's
17	not currently doing that.
18	MS. REVEILLE: Has he worked in a laboratory when he's
19	been in that role?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: Yes, he has.
21	MS. REVEILLE: Does he talk to you about his job and
22	things like the conditions in the lab in which he works?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: In the past he has, yes.
24	MS. REVEILLE: Was he doing procedures, or all
25	research?

1 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG:** On cancer, he was doing all research. Under his current role, he does procedures. 2 MS. REVEILLE: Okay. What is his current role? 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: He's an international 4 5 radiology medical resident. MS. REVEILLE: Would you consider yourself to be more 6 emotional, more logical, neither, both? 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: More logical. 8 MS. REVEILLE: Okay. Would you be able to listen to 9 all the evidence in this case? 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: Yes. 11 MS. REVEILLE: Would you be able to follow the Judge's 12 instructions on the law? 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: Yes. 14 MS. REVEILLE: And would you be able to go back to the 15 16 jury room with your fellow jurors and come to a fair verdict? PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: Yes. 17 MS. REVEILLE: Wonderful. Thank you so much, 18 19 Ms. Cheung. PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHEUNG: Thank you. 20 MS. REVEILLE: Okay. Let's see, Juror Number 2, which 21 is Q, Ms. Low. Yes, 22 is Q, Ms. Low. Thank you. Oh, yes, 22 23 thank you. Okay. Hi. Okay. So I know that you were up earlier when 24 25 Ms. Sharp was asking questions, and if I heard you correctly, I

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

think you said that although initially you felt conflicted, you 1 said you were not sure; you would have to hear all of the 2 evidence. 3

Did I hear you correctly?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Yes.

MS. REVEILLE: So that's important to you, that you will sit and you will listen to all the evidence in the case from the first day to the last if you're selected?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Yes.

MS. REVEILLE: Sorry, it's so difficult to hear with the masks.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: I know.

MS. REVEILLE: Of course, at this point you haven't heard anything in the case. Some of Ms. Sharp's questions, I think I sensed some hesitation. And is that because you haven't heard any of the evidence in the case yet?

**PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW:** I think so, yes.

MS. REVEILLE: And you will be able to listen to the Judge and follow the Judge's instructions on the law; is that true?

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Yes.

MS. REVEILLE: And then you will be able to go back in the jury room with your fellow jurors and come to a fair verdict?

> PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Yes.

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

1 MS. REVEILLE: Okay. Thank you so much, Ms. Low. THE COURT: Ms. Low, sorry, before you sit down can I 2 just ask you, when you were up before, the first time, you 3 seemed -- I'm not saying -- a little -- it was hard to speak a 4 little bit. Is that because you were nervous, or the case 5 makes it a little emotional for you? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: A little bit of both. 7 I think it's been a really crazy year, I think we can all agree. 8 THE COURT: Agree. 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: All the stress that's just 10 11 getting to me, being a distance-learning person, all that. 12 It's just, yeah. 13 **THE COURT:** So do you think you're in a frame of mind to actually sit and pay attention and give both parties your 14 15 full attention in this case in light of -- which is fair 16 enough -- everything else going on? **PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW:** I would absolutely do my best. 17 I think that's the best answer I could give. 18 THE COURT: But do you think your best would be good 19 enough for either party? I quess I'd say, would you feel 20 comfortable with you on the jury not -- just knowing your 21 stress level, which a lot of people have right now --22 23 **PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW:** Right. 24 **THE COURT:** -- that you have. 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Could you repeat the question?

Because at this point I'm not quite sure. 1 THE COURT: Sure. No, I'm just wondering, because you 2 did, when you came up the first time, not actually this time --3 and sometimes it's just nervousness -- right? -- because jury 4 selection we ask all you strangers to get up and answer these 5 personal questions in front of everyone. I'm always astounded 6 7 everyone really does it, which is wonderful. But, as you said, you did seem a little emotional or stressed. 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Right. 9 THE COURT: And there are a lot of things going on in 10 11 the world or even minutely in our little world. So my question is, knowing what you're dealing with 12 personally, do you feel like you can give each side the 13 attention their case deserves and be a fair juror? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Yes. 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. MS. REVEILLE: Let's see, Juror R, Mr. Kafele. Thank 17 This is R, and this is Juror Number 23. 18 Good morning, Mr. Kafele. 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: Good morning. 20 MS. REVEILLE: Am I saying your last name correctly? 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: Kafele. 22 23 MS. REVEILLE: Okay. So not quite. Thank you. First, is your wife currently undergoing IVF. 24

**PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE:** That is correct.

```
Interesting timing.
 1
              MS. REVEILLE: We may have some additional questions
 2
     for you outside the presence of the other jurors.
 3
          I think I saw on your questionnaire that you worked in a
 4
 5
    biology lab previously.
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: That was an internship in
 7
     college, so like 20 years ago. And it was a teaching lab, so
     all the outcomes of the experiments were known. It wasn't a
 8
     real lab.
 9
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. You said it was a long time ago,
10
11
     in college?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: (Nods head)
12
              THE COURT: Ms. Reveille, you have one minute.
13
              MS. REVEILLE: Oh, I think your questionnaire said you
14
15
     were a vegie-powered handyman.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: That's true.
              MS. REVEILLE: I was going to say, what is that? What
17
18
     do you do in your role?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: Well, I'm just regular
19
20
     handyman, but I'm vegetarian and my vehicle runs on bio diesel.
21
              MS. REVEILLE: Oh, wonderful. Okay. Thank you so
22
     much, Mr. Kafele.
23
                That's all I have for now. Thank you so much,
          Okay.
24
     everyone.
25
              THE COURT: Ms. Sharp, you have one minute for any
```

```
follow-up.
 1
              MS. SHARP: Ms. Nishimoto, I'm sorry to pick on you
 2
            May I ask you just another couple of questions, please?
     again.
 3
     Thank you.
 4
 5
          You said that you could listen to the evidence. You would
    be listening, though, through the lens of your religious
 6
 7
    biases, which you said you could not set aside in this trial;
     is that right?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NISHIMOTO: Correct.
 9
              MS. SHARP: All right.
10
                                      Thank you.
11
          Ms. Low, if I may ask you to come up one more time.
          Thank you for coming up again.
12
          Ms. Low, you -- would you be able to set aside your
13
     religious beliefs to be impartial in this case?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: I think so.
15
16
              MS. SHARP: And with regard to money damages, I
17
     asked --
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: With regard to?
18
              MS. SHARP: Money damages. As I said earlier, the
19
20
    plaintiffs would be asking for money damages in this case, for
     the loss of eggs and embryos. What is your perspective on
21
     that, please?
22
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Well, in a way I think of it
     as the loss of life. It's difficult.
24
          I feel I should share that I -- I had a child that died
25
```

when she was three months old. 1 2 **THE COURT:** Oh, we're so sorry. **PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW:** It was a long time ago. 3 so I quess I'm thinking in terms of that we don't get paid for 4 5 losing lives. MS. SHARP: Yes, I understand. Thank you very much 6 7 for your candor. PROSPECTIVE JUROR LOW: Uh-huh. 8 MS. SHARP: Thank you. 9 One more, if I may. Mr. Davis, there you are. 10 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: Hello. MS. SHARP: Hello, Mr. Davis. We haven't heard from 12 13 you today. Thank you. In the questionnaire you said that you'd be a hundred 14 15 percent honest, you have so much going on in your life. Are 16 you saying you would not be able to give the case your full attention because of what's going on in your personal life? 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: Yeah. I am in the middle of 18 Excuse me. I've been sleeping very little. 19 me about 2 hours and 30 minutes to get here today. It's just 20 kind of rough to sit and listen to everything. And I kind of 21 22 yawned about six or seven times sitting over there already. 23 It's just really hard to stay away and listen to everything.

MS. SHARP: Thank you.

24

25

Can you tell us a little bit about your thoughts on

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

awarding money damages for the loss of eggs and embryos in a 1 case like this? 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: I think my thoughts would be 3 based on how much they're asking for and for what -- like, if 4 5 it's the loss of the money for storing the eggs and taking the eggs or is it -- are they asking for monetary compensation for 6 7 their emotional damages and how much so. That's pretty much it. 8 MS. SHARP: So it sounds like you separate out those 9 two issues. 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: 11 Yeah. MS. SHARP: Is it the awarding of damages for 12 13 emotional distress that gives you some pause? PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: It's just how much would 14 15 they be asking. Would the person be asking for \$10 million for 16 emotional damages, or emotional damages for having to take time 17 off of work? MS. SHARP: Right. So is there an upper limit, in 18 your mind, of what you could not award more than for emotional 19 20 distress damages? PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: Not really. 21 MS. REVEILLE: Objection, Your Honor. Argumentative. 22 23 Misleading. The jury hasn't heard any evidence yet. THE COURT: Overruled. 24

PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: One more time.

25

# MS. SHARP: Happily.

Is there an upper limit that, in your mind, you could not award more than for emotional distress damages? And, again, those are for pain and suffering.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: Yeah, I guess there would be
a limit.

MS. SHARP: There would? Do you know what it is?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: Not off the top of my head.

MS. REVEILLE: Objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: He said he didn't know.

**PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS:** Is that it?

MS. SHARP: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Before you go -- but, Ms. Sharp, you are out of time.

MS. SHARP: Okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Davis, I want to follow-up. Do you feel like you could or could not be a fair juror in this case?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: I feel that I could be fair listening to both sides and evidence and all that. I'm just very tired, very stressed.

THE COURT: That's what I mean. With what's going on in your life, do you feel like you couldn't give each side a fair shot?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: If I was chosen and coming in every day for the next three weeks, I don't think I would,

#### JURY VOIR DIRE

1 one, be on time every day. I was late today. Even though I left Vacaville at 6:00 a.m., I got here just before 8:30. 2 Yeah, I don't think I would be able to be fair and listen 3 four days a week, five days a week, for the whole time and be 4 5 here and attentive and on time. THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR DAVIS: 7 Yeah. THE COURT: Ms. Reveille, did you have any follow-up? 8 MS. REVEILLE: Just briefly, Your Honor. 9 Mr. Kafele, if you wouldn't mind stepping up very briefly. 10 11 Thank you so much. **THE COURT:** If you wanted to speak to him separately, 12 13 we can do that. MS. REVEILLE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. We will 14 15 do that then. Thank you. 16 Never mind. 17 No further questions. Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Great. So thank you very 18 19 much, members of the jury pool. If you didn't get asked a 20 question, don't be offended at all. We did those detailed 21 questionnaires to sort of again try to speed the process, limit 22 the time that you necessarily have to be here. 23 What I'm going to do now is, if I could have all of you,

except for Mr. Kafele, Ms. Means will take you into our jury

room, which is just another courtroom this size.

24

25

But let me give you a couple of instructions, which is you've heard a little bit, very little, almost nothing about this case. Please don't discuss it at all with anyone, all right. It's going to be very important, especially if you're chosen for the jury, that you keep an open mind, that you only hear about the case what we tell you here in court.

So that even begins now just with each other. What you can discuss is how sad it is that Steph Curry wasn't able to get the Warriors past the Lakers yesterday, if you even knew there was an MBA season going on. You can share that or anything else.

Ms. Means will bring you to the courtroom next door, and then hopefully we will tell you by 11:00 or so what we're doing next.

(Prospective jurors exit courtroom at 10:34 a.m.)

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Kafele, if you can come forward. I think you recognize the coincidence there. The lawyers want to ask you some questions.

prospective juror kafele: I could have done this in
front of -- it's almost even weirder it's just me here.

THE COURT: I don't know what they're going to ask.

**PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE:** I don't want to interfere with the process.

THE COURT: I think our audio is still on. I don't

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

1 know where Ms. Means is. So why don't we wait a moment. Or 2 maybe Ms. Jacobs can turn it off.

Go ahead and ask your question. If it's not a question you want available to the public, let us know and we'll turn the audio off. Go ahead, Ms. Reveille.

## MS. REVEILLE: Thank you.

Thank you, Mr. Kafele. We just wanted to ask you about your experience and whether the fact that your wife is currently undergoing IVF would make this a difficult case for you to sit on or whether you think that you would be able to sit and listen to the evidence and follow the law and deliberate with the jurors?

process just gives me a little bit more background than I would have ever had reason to research before, especially now if I'm supposed to not research anything.

I know for a lot of people it's very emotional but, like, it wasn't our last resort. It was our first child we had naturally. But there was a few miscarriages, and we were hoping to, like, make the process a little -- a little easier. But we didn't even try to do it naturally the second time. We also tried adopting.

I actually would have answered a lot of this differently if it was, like, four or five years ago, before I learned that adopting is actually not easy or inexpensive at all. The

question you asked about people should adopt first, I actually felt that way, and realize that's not necessarily an option for everyone.

I've gotten way off track of your question.

MS. REVEILLE: No, you didn't. Not at all. This is all very, very helpful. We appreciate it.

So what I've picked up so far is that you have more knowledge about the process now having been through it. You said your first child you had naturally and then after that you had a few miscarriages, which led you to actually moving forward with IVF.

In terms of your emotions, do you feel like it would be too emotional to sit on the jury, or would you be able to get through the experience without being overcome with emotion?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: As long as I avoid the temptation to talk about it with my wife and see her reaction, I think I can stay neutral.

MS. REVEILLE: Wonderful. And if the Judge instructs you on the law and says you're not allowed to talk about the evidence and that you need to wait until you get in the jury box with your fellow jurors, to discuss the evidence and deliberate, would you be able to follow her instructions?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: Yes. She asked me right away, but she doesn't press because she's a lawyer and --

MS. REVEILLE: Your wife's a lawyer?

```
1
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: -- she takes it seriously.
 2
              MS. REVEILLE: So she'll help you; she will not ask.
     She knows that is off limits.
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: Exactly.
 4
 5
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. All right. We just wanted to
     make sure you are comfortable, but it sounds like you are
 6
 7
     comfortable and you will be able to get through the trial and
     fulfill your duty.
 8
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: That's the goal.
              MS. REVEILLE: And do you believe you would be able to
10
11
     do that, to listens to the evidence first, as a first step?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: At least as well as anyone.
12
13
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. And you would be able to follow
     the Judge's instructions on the law?
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: Yes.
15
16
              MS. REVEILLE: And then you would be able to
17
     deliberate with your fellow jurors and come to a fair verdict?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KAFELE: Fair is subjective, but at
18
19
     least at fair as anyone.
              MS. REVEILLE: Understood. Thank you very much,
20
    Mr. Kafele.
21
              THE COURT: You can go to the courtroom next door with
22
23
     your fellow jurors.
                         Thank you so much.
24
              THE CLERK:
                          The courtroom on your left, once you go
25
     out.
```

## JURY VOIR DIRE

```
I think we're ready now.
 1
              THE COURT:
          I guess first would be hardship. Let me just propose,
 2
    Ms. Hamilton I would excuse as a hardship. It seems that she
 3
     filled out the questionnaire her sub is unable to sub.
 4
 5
          Is there any objection to excusing Ms. Hamilton for
 6
     hardship?
                         Not from the plaintiffs, Your Honor.
 7
              MS. SHARP:
              MS. REVEILLE: None from us either, Your Honor.
                                                                Thank
 8
 9
     you.
              THE COURT: So Ms. Hamilton, Juror P, number 21, is
10
11
     excused for hardship.
          We'll start with the plaintiff. Do you need more time, I
12
13
     guess I should ask?
              MS. SHARP: One minute would be great if we could have
14
15
     it, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT:
                         Sure.
                                 Yes.
17
              MS. SHARP:
                         Just to get our thoughts organized.
                         Sorry, Your Honor, cause now?
18
              MR. DUFFY:
              THE COURT:
                          Yes, cause. No, no, believe me, I'll give
19
20
     you more time before peremptories.
21
          (Pause)
22
                          All right. Are we ready for our cause?
              THE COURT:
23
              MS. SHARP:
                          We are. Thank you, Your Honor.
                          All right. With the plaintiff, let's go
24
              THE COURT:
25
     in order. So that would the plaintiff like to excuse anyone
```

# JURY VOIR DIRE

```
for cause?
 1
              MS. SHARP: Yes. We'll start with Ms. Nishimoto.
 2
     She's Juror Number 4, in seat C, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Okay. I think she should be excused, but
 4
 5
     I'll hear from Ms. Reveille.
              MS. REVEILLE: I would just say, Your Honor, that I
 6
     think that when she was asked additional questions she said
 7
     that she could follow the law, listen to the evidence, and
 8
     deliberate.
 9
              THE COURT: She did. You did an excellent job at
10
     trying to rehabilitate her. But she was also clear that her
11
     religious beliefs were going to interfere.
12
13
          So I'm going to excuse Juror Number 4, Ms. Nishimoto, for
14
     cause.
              MS. SHARP:
                         Second cause challenge --
15
16
              THE COURT:
                         I wonder if we should go back and forth.
17
    Maybe it doesn't matter. Go ahead, Ms. Sharp.
18
              MS. SHARP:
                          Great.
                                  Thank you.
          The second cause challenge for us is Ms. Low, who is juror
19
20
     22, in seat Q. As the Court heard, she couldn't commit to
21
     setting aside her religious views or at least of them having no
     impact on her decision-making. She was quite emotional in
22
23
     response to the Court's questions. She said she doesn't
    believe that she can put a price on human life.
24
25
          And in response to questionnaire question number 53,
```

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

regarding religious beliefs, she said clearly, "I'm not entirely sure my religious beliefs would allow me to be impartial." She confirmed that in questioning here.

Likewise, in question 56 on the questionnaire she said again, "Same reason as stated above. Religion." And from our perspective she confirmed quite clearly that she's not going to be able to set aside those views in deciding the case.

Ms. REVEILLE: Your Honor, I would disagree. Although Ms. Low answered in that way on the questionnaire, when I brought her up -- actually, first, when Ms. Sharp was questioning her she said, "I'm not sure until I've heard all of the evidence. I haven't heard anything in the case."

She said that she would be open-minded and said that she could be fair; she could listen to all the evidence, follow the law, and deliberate with her fellow jurors to come to a fair verdict. So I don't think she rises to the level necessary for cause.

THE COURT: Yeah. She's a -- she's a close question.

That's why I asked her, because she was so emotional.

And then I think, actually, she revealed, actually, I think there's the stress but, also, the stress of this bringing up -- which must have been a very long time ago -- the death of her 3-month old.

I think she very much wants to believe that she could be fair and impartial. She's absolutely not trying to get off the

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

jury. But I'm -- I'm satisfied or I should say this. I have enough doubt -- she did say "Not until I heard it," but then, to me, that leaves the question in my mind of when she hears what whether she could then, in fact, be fair and impartial.

If she hears certain evidence then I think there's a risk that she could not be fair and impartial. I mean, it very well could be that when she heard the evidence, even saying she couldn't obviously put a value on the death of her child, that she may be able to do that here, but there's enough uncertainty that I'm going to go ahead and excuse Ms. Low for cause.

MS. REVEILLE: Understood, Your Honor.

MS. SHARP: Third cause challenge for the plaintiffs,
Your Honor, is Mr. Davis. He was Juror Number 2 in seat B.

Under the Court's questioning and under ours, he confirmed that, first of all, hardship; he believes he can't pay attention; he's very tired; took him hours to get here today. He also said that he believed that he couldn't be fair. And, finally, he did say that he would not be able to go above an upper limit for money damages, contrary to the law.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Reveille.

MS. REVEILLE: I don't think that this rises to the level for cause, Your Honor. Everyone has a hardship.

Everyone has personal and professional lives. And getting here isn't going to be easy for anyone.

And I don't think that he can't be fair just because it

JURY VOIR DIRE will be difficult for him to get here. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Your Honor, the last thing I would add, if MS. SHARP: 3 I may, is that on question 55 on the questionnaire he was 4 5 "Can you impartially decide the case?" And in a somewhat long answer he basically said, no, he said, I'm not 6 7 going to be a hundred percent -- well, he said, "I'm going to be a hundred percent" but I think he meant not. 8 THE COURT: All right. So, as to Mr. Davis, he just 9 doesn't want to be a juror in this case. And my concern is 10 11 we're going to lose him. Something's going to happen because he -- I mean, I think he was probably exaggerating a bit about 12 yawning and not sleeping, but I find he is -- he's too 13 distracted or at least he wants to come across as too 14 15 distracted to be a fair and impartial juror. 16 So while I agree with Ms. Reveille -- I mean, it is a 17 hardship, but not a hardship that would justify excusing him, I'm going to excuse him for cause. He's just not appropriate 18 for a jury for either side. 19 20 Thank you, Your Honor. MS. REVEILLE: So Juror Number 2, Mr. Davis, is excused 21 THE COURT: for cause. 22 23 Last cause challenge for the plaintiffs MS. SHARP:

for now, Your Honor, is Ms. Rowe, Juror Number 20, seat O.

In response to the question 48 on the questionnaire,

24

25

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

Ms. Rowe confirmed that she believes that IVF is unnatural or like playing God.

Under questioning she did say that she's opposed, conceptually, to these issues. And, of course, IVF is central to the case. The plaintiffs in the case have all undergone IVF processes. And so the notion of a juror who is conceptually opposed to something that is so foundational to the issues in the case, from our perspective, supports a dismissal for cause.

THE COURT: Ms. Reveille.

MS. SHARP: I'm sorry.

**THE COURT:** Go ahead.

MS. SHARP: One last thing. She also agreed, when I asked her if our side would start out with a disadvantage because of her strong beliefs, her answer was "Sure." That's it.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. REVEILLE: Your Honor, I would disagree. You called her up to ask some follow-up questions yourself, when Ms. Sharp was finished her questioning, and she said unequivocally "I can be fair." No, not all lawsuits are frivolous. She's not saying that. She has to listen to the evidence first and that she can listen to the evidence, follow the law and deliberate; and that her comment about frivolous lawsuits was only with respect to her own personal situation with her mother's lawsuit with the sister.

```
JURY VOIR DIRE
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I believe she was completely rehabilitated and said she can be fair, she will be fair in this case; she does not believe this lawsuit is frivolous just because of that prior experience; and she will follow the law and deliberate with her jurors. THE COURT: The reason I followed up with her is because I didn't find her answer, like the sure whatever. I'm not going to excuse her for cause. I think just because the questionnaire -- right? -- limits you. It's not a narrative. And so I'm not going to excuse her for cause. MS. REVEILLE: Thank you, Your Honor. Do the -- does Chart have any additional THE COURT: requests? Can we just have a minute, Your Honor? MR. DUFFY: THE COURT: Of course. MR. DUFFY: Thank you. THE COURT: Does Chart have any for-cause challenges? MS. REVEILLE: Thanks, Your Honor. Our first would be Juror Number 9, Barb Mahoney. Ms. Mahoney seems emotional in her responses to questions. said she understands what it's like to lose a child, having lost one herself. She thinks an embryo is a child. And I do not think that she would be able to separate her emotion and listen to the evidence and follow the law and come to a fair

verdict. Chart would start behind the starting line.

# JURY VOIR DIRE

```
Your Honor, we don't have anything in the
 1
              MS. SHARP:
     transcripts, that we can see, that says she lost a child.
 2
              THE COURT:
                         I think it was in the survey.
 3
              MS. SHARP:
                         Was it?
 4
 5
              MS. REVEILLE: It's in the questionnaire.
              MR. DUFFY: She was so emotional she couldn't get it
 6
 7
     out.
              MS. SHARP: She said "I have sympathy for people who
 8
     lost their hopes and dreams of having a baby."
 9
              THE COURT: Yes. She didn't say -- was it in her
10
     survey?
11
              She didn't say she had lost a child.
12
              MS. SHARP:
                          No.
13
              THE COURT: She's the retired kindergarten teacher.
              MS. SHARP: The transcript also reflects she did not
14
15
     say that the defense would start behind.
16
              THE COURT: No, no, she didn't.
17
              MS. REVEILLE: That's my argument.
              MS. SHARP:
                         Okay.
18
                                 Sorry.
19
              MS. REVEILLE:
                             That's okay.
              THE COURT: All right. I take it the plaintiffs
20
     object?
21
22
              MS. SHARP:
                          We do.
23
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
          I don't find there's cause to excuse Ms. Mahoney.
24
25
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. And then our final cause
```

## JURY VOIR DIRE

```
challenge would be Juror Number 12, Ms. Missildine.
 1
     questionnaire she said that damages are too low and Chart would
 2
    be prejudiced, she would be prejudiced --
 3
              THE COURT: Wait. Did you question her?
 4
 5
              MS. REVEILLE: No, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: So overruled.
 6
 7
              MS. REVEILLE: Thank you.
              THE COURT: All right. So if I did my math correct,
 8
     that leaves us with exactly 16?
 9
                         Yes, Your Honor.
10
              MS. SHARP:
11
              THE COURT:
                          Three peremptories for each side. We will
    have our jury. All right. So, yeah. Why don't we take --
12
     well, so is 15 minutes enough time?
13
14
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Yes.
                         Yes, Your Honor.
15
              MS. SHARP:
              THE COURT: And, Ms. Jacobs, you can let the jury
16
17
     commissioner know -- well, not to let them go yet, just in case
18
     we pick someone, and then sometimes they tell you something.
19
     Just let her know that we're pretty sure we're going to get it
20
     out of this 20.
21
          All right. So we'll resume at 11:15.
              MS. SHARP: Your Honor, is it okay if the rest of our
22
23
     team come back to the courtroom now?
              THE COURT: Yes, for the peremptories, absolutely.
24
25
              MS. SHARP:
                          Thank you very much.
```

```
(Recess taken at 10:58 a.m.)
 1
                   (Proceedings resumed at 11:22 a.m.)
 2
          (Proceedings were heard outside the presence of the jury:)
 3
              THE COURT: Thank you to the Chart team for being the
 4
 5
     one to vacate.
          All right. So, if you recall, we will start -- we will go
 6
     Plaintiff, Defendant. And anybody skipped by both sides is
 7
    going to be on our jury.
 8
         All right. Let's start with the -- and each side has
 9
     three peremptories.
10
          So we will start with the Plaintiff. Would you like to
11
     exercise your first peremptory?
12
13
              MS. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor. Juror number 1,
    Dr. Meldorf.
14
              THE COURT: All right. Juror number 1, Dr. Meldorf,
15
16
     is excused.
17
                       (Pause in the proceedings.)
              THE COURT: And for Chart?
18
              MS. REVEILLE: Juror number 9, Ms. Mahoney.
19
              THE COURT: Okay. Juror number 9, Ms. Mahoney, is
20
     excused.
21
              MS. SHARP: All right. Juror number 13, is the
22
23
     Plaintiffs' second peremptory, Your Honor, Dr. Sutherland.
              THE COURT: All right. Juror number 13,
24
    Dr. Sutherland is excused.
25
```

```
MS. REVEILLE: Juror number 12, Ms. Missildine.
 1
              THE COURT: Okay. Juror number 12, Ms. Missildine is
 2
     excused.
 3
              MS. SHARP: Your Honor, the third peremptory for the
 4
 5
     Plaintiff is Ms. Rowe, juror number 20.
              THE COURT: Okay. Juror number 20, Ms. Rowe, is
 6
 7
     excused.
              MS. REVEILLE: Juror number 25, Mr. Graves.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: Juror number 25, Mr. Graves, is excused.
          All right. So let me then go through who I believe our
10
11
     jury is.
          Juror number 5, Ms. Fredricksen, will be juror A.
12
13
          Juror number 6, Ms. Cheung, is E.
          Juror number 11, Mr. Singh.
14
15
          Juror number 14, Ms. Ewing.
16
          Juror number 15, Mr. Hanson.
          Juror number 17, Mr. McClung.
17
          Juror number 19, Ms. Betancourt, who maybe will cook for
18
19
     us.
20
                                (Laughter)
                          Juror number 23, Mr. Kafele.
21
              THE COURT:
22
          Juror number 24, Mr. Van Boldrik.
23
          Juror -- wait. That's 10; right.
              MS. GLIOZZO: Number 49.
24
25
              THE COURT: Oh, number 49, Mr. Toole, great.
```

```
1
          All right.
                     Does everyone agree that's our jury?
              MS. SHARP: Yes, Your Honor.
 2
              MS. REVEILLE: Yes, Your Honor.
 3
              MR. DUFFY:
 4
                          Yes.
 5
              THE COURT:
                          All right. So we will bring them in.
     will thank them, and I will list who our jurors are and excuse
 6
 7
     everyone else.
          I will give them the admonition about not talking to
 8
 9
     anyone over the weekend.
          We can tell them how lucky they are they got selected.
10
11
          Ms. Means, will show them next door, and then are going
     to -- we will take a little break and then practice the Zoom.
12
13
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Oh, okay, great.
                          Great. Good job, everybody.
14
              THE COURT:
          (Proceedings were heard in the presence of the jury:)
15
16
              THE COURT: All right. Thank you, everyone, for your
17
     patience. You may be seated.
          And I'm pleased to say that we were able to select our
18
            So like a restaurant, we under promised and over
19
     performed in terms of how quickly we would be.
20
          Unfortunately, we were only able to select ten of you.
21
     for the rest of you, I'm sorry you weren't able to be selected.
22
23
          I'm going to go ahead and read the names of those who have
     been selected, the ten.
24
25
          So if your name is not selected, we thank you so much for
```

```
coming in today. And hopefully at some time in the future you
 1
     will have the opportunity to serve on the jury.
 2
          So I'm going to list you -- I'm going to call you by
 3
     letter.
 4
          Ms. Means, those who are on the jury, where would you like
 5
     them to go?
 6
 7
              THE CLERK: Oh, you can go back to the jury assembly
     room and just tell them that --
 8
              THE COURT:
                          No, no. I need them to stay down here for
 9
     me to talk to them. I'm just trying to think because some
10
11
     people are leaving and some people are going.
          Here is this: If I call your name and you are on the
12
13
     jury, don't leave. Just stay here. And then we are going to
14
     figure out the seating.
          All right. So our first juror will be Lorna Fredricksen.
15
          Our next juror is Elise Cheung.
16
17
          And our next juror after that, number 3, is Bobby Singh.
          And then we have Nicole Ewing; Michael Hanson; Marc
18
     McClung; Alexis Betancourt; Bakari Kafele; Hans Van Boldrik;
19
     and Mark Toole.
20
          So if you can all remain. And the rest of you, again,
21
     thank you so much.
22
          Your service to the Northern District of California for
23
     this term is over.
24
25
          And do they need to go back to the jury room?
```

```
1
              THE CLERK:
                          You can go back to the jury assembly room
     and tell them that you were excused. I don't know if you guys
 2
     need paperwork to verify the day you were here.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          If you need any paperwork.
 4
              THE CLERK:
                                 Just go back up.
 5
                          Yeah.
              THE COURT:
                          But thank you. Thank you so much.
 6
 7
          (Excused jurors exited courtroom.)
                         Ms. Fredricksen, can I have you move to
              THE CLERK:
 8
 9
     letter A, spot A.
          And then Ms. Cheung, can you move to B.
10
11
          Mr. Singh, can you move up to C.
          Mr. or Ms. Ewing -- I only wrote down the last name.
12
                                                                  Ι
13
     apologize -- if I can have you move to D.
          Mr. Hanson, will you move up to E.
14
          Mr. McClung, you can move to F.
15
16
          Mr. Toole, you can stay -- you are at -- can you move to
17
     G, letter G.
18
          Mr. Betancourt, you are going to move to H.
          And Mr. Kafele is I.
19
          And Mr. Van Boldrik is J.
20
                          Okay. Members of the Jury, I'm now going
21
              THE COURT:
     to have Ms. Means swear you in as your -- as our jury.
22
23
              THE CLERK: Can I have you stand up one more time and
24
     raise your right hand.
25
          (Jury duly sworn.)
```

1 THE COURT: Thank you. You may be seated.

As I said, trial is going to start Monday, so that gives you a day and a half, a workday anyway, to figure out things. Monday at 8:30 a.m. sharp.

Just so you know, I and the parties will be here every day at 8:00 a.m. beginning to work with the goal of trying to work out everything in advance so that the trial will go as smoothly as possible. And, again, that we maximize your time here hearing the evidence in court.

Ms. Means will give you some contact information if anything comes up or you have questions or things like that.

But now, it is super important that I tell you -- and then what we are going to do is we are going to begin Monday morning.

I'm going to give you some preliminary instructions, and then you are going to hear oral argument from each side or opening statements where each side tells you what they expect the evidence to show. It is not evidence. Just what they expect.

And then we are going to start with our first witness.

And as I said, we intend to end every day around 1:30 -- maybe a little later if someone is on the stand that we want to finish off with -- but around then. So at least hopefully you can avoid the commute traffic on the way home to the extent there is commute traffic anymore, which sometimes there isn't

1 so much.

It is super important, though, between now and Monday -in fact, throughout the trial -- that you not discuss the case
with anyone.

So what is going to happen, of course, is you need to let your employers, your family know -- maybe some friends -- that you have been selected for service on this jury.

And, of course, the next question they are going to ask you is: What is the case? Tell me all about it.

And what you need to say is: I can't. I'm under orders from the Judge that I can't.

And the reason we do that is not because if you said: Oh, it is just a civil trial or if you said just the name of the case, that that in itself is wrong. But when you do that, of course, what is the response from the person you are speaking to?

Oh, maybe I read about it. Oh, tell me what it is about.

Oh, this is what I think. And now you have become contaminated, right, with information and views from other people.

And it is so critical to the parties, right, that they get a fair trial; and we have been -- worked on this for a long time to culminate in presenting the evidence to you here.

And we have carefully decided what evidence should be presented to you. And so it is so important that you don't

```
PROCEEDINGS
```

hear other outside things. There is other outside things.

So you are going to be in this little cone for the next three weeks where all you hear or discuss about it will be in this courtroom.

Even among yourselves, the jurors, I'm going to instruct you not to talk about it among yourselves because we want to wait until your deliberations after you have heard all the evidence.

I'm going to give you an instruction on Monday about keeping an open mind.

The other thing -- because we are in the age of the

Internet -- is just to remind you to not -- not even post,

right, on your Facebook or WhatsApp or Tik Tok. I don't know

if any of you do Tik Tok.

# (Laughter)

THE COURT: Don't do a dancing Tik Tok -- I'm on jury duty -- for the same reason it will just elicit the same comments or questions or things like that; right.

Just I'm on jury duty. When it is all done and you are excused, you can talk about it. But just -- from now until the end, just keep quiet. No reading. Nothing; right.

Enjoy this fabulous weather that we are having now today and through the weekend, and then we will see you on Monday morning.

So thank you so much again. I look forward to spending

```
some time with all of you.
```

And, Ms. Means, are you going to take them to the --

THE CLERK: I'm just going to take them back next door.

THE COURT: Oh, the other thing I should say is: For social distancing these are your seats. As you can see, those people back there, these are a little more comfortable.

So if somebody has an issue with that, we can try to switch up and maybe among yourselves, as a matter of fairness, you are going to want to switch things up.

The other thing is exhibits are going to be shown on the screens here and there. And so if someone has problems seeing something during the trial, you should let us know. And, again, we will try to switch things up.

But we are -- the reason we have you spread out this way is to maintain the social distancing.

All right. With that, thank you so much. And we look forward to seeing you all on Monday at 8:30 sharp.

(Proceedings were heard outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: Okay. So I think someone from IT is going to come so we can see how the Zoom -- did you all get the Zoom link?

MR. DUFFY: Yes.

THE COURT: And the people who need it have it?

MR. DUFFY: I have one issue that -- the Rule 615

exclusion of witnesses issue. 1 2 THE COURT: Yes. When we were talking about Zoom, it MR. DUFFY: 3 brought it back to me. 4 5 We sent a proposed stipulation. There was an objection from the Plaintiffs. Before we start opening statements, I 6 think we have to resolve that. 7 THE COURT: Sure. Why don't we -- while we are 8 waiting for Ms. Means to come back and the IT people -- and we 9 have Ms. Knox, who is fresh -- we can address what we want. 10 11 MR. POLK: Sure. I can address --THE COURT: You are going to have to speak into the 12 13 microphone. MR. POLK: We are not opposed in principle to doing a 14 15 stipulation that tracks the rule. So I think what we had yesterday -- there was a couple 16 17 places that deviated from the rule barring people from opening 18 statement, barring Counsel from talking to their clients. And so I think what we would be prepared to agree to --19 20 also it wasn't clear on whether parties could be present. 21 think the rule is pretty clear about that. 22 Yeah, they can. I said witnesses. MR. DUFFY: So it 23 is as to witnesses. THE COURT: Parties, yes, non-party witnesses. 24 25 MR. POLK: We haven't met and conferred on this just

because this -- like Mr. Duffy said, it just kind of percolated 1 to the surface yesterday. 2 And so, yeah, what we would stipulate to is that witnesses 3 don't get to sit in on other witnesses testimony. 4 5 Parties can sit in. Counsel can talk to their clients. People can sit in on opening statement. 6 7 That's -- you know, what the rule says. MR. DUFFY: I quess the only objection from the 8 Plaintiffs then is that non-party witnesses' attorneys can 9 speak to them about the evidence. Is that your objection? 10 11 MR. POLK: Yeah. I just don't see anything in the rule that would --12 That defeats the purpose. 13 MR. DUFFY: MR. POLK: -- that would allow us to --14 THE COURT: Well, they certainly have -- they have the 15 16 professional responsibility. 17 I mean, normally an attorney representing a non-party witness would come and monitor the trial. 18 MR. DUFFY: But would be prohibited from sharing the 19 information they learn as an ethical rule. 20 21 And what I would just like the Court to make sure we have a record here is that attorneys come and watch evidence --22 23 whether it is here or on Zoom -- it doesn't really matter. They are bound by Rule 615 as well. 24

They are bound by their ethical rules.

25

THE COURT:

1 MR. DUFFY: Yes. What Rule 615 are we referring to? 2 THE COURT: The Federal Rules of Evidence 615. MR. POLK: 3 MR. DUFFY: Yeah. 4 5 The rule doesn't say anything about MR. POLK: counsel. I mean, they are bound by their ethical rules like 6 7 Your Honor says. I mean, yeah, I think we can look at it. The other thing I would like to just clarify, I don't 8 think I mentioned experts. And I think I have heard from my 9 colleagues that experts can --10 11 MR. DUFFY: Yes. I would like experts as well, Your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: Yes, experts. I think that makes a lot of sense. 14 MR. DUFFY: 15 you know, obviously, there are -- so Rule 615 itself bars a 16 witness from coming in and watching. 17 And the attorney can't end-run the rule by showing up to watch the proceedings then leak all of it to his client. 18 Okay. Of course, that's a given; right. 19 THE COURT: 20 MR. DUFFY: Yeah. THE COURT: If we exclude the witness so that they 21 don't hear the testimony, the attorney can't go and then 22 23 essentially tell them everything that they heard.

The attorney can, of course, meet with their client; but

they can't tell their client: Oh, you know what, the witness

24

25

```
actually testified to this.
 1
 2
              MR. DUFFY:
                         Right.
                         Right, yeah. I think we are all in
              THE COURT:
 3
     agreement as to that. So witnesses are -- non-party witnesses
 4
 5
     are excluded. By non-party, though --
              MR. POLK: Other than experts, yeah.
 6
              THE COURT: -- we should be clear. So the Plaintiffs
 7
     can watch.
 8
              MR. DUFFY:
                         Of course.
 9
              THE COURT: And from Chart, who are we talking about?
10
11
              MR. POLK: We are talking about, I think -- this is
    basically a PFC witness.
12
              MR. DUFFY: PFC, but there are other witnesses that
13
     may come in. I'm trying to think, Adam.
14
15
          It mainly concerns PFC obviously, but it's any attorney
16
     who is representing a witness.
17
              THE COURT: Oh, I see, because all of the Chart people
     are by video.
18
              MR. DUFFY:
19
                         Correct.
20
              THE COURT: So it is not an issue.
              MR. DUFFY:
                         Correct.
21
22
              THE COURT:
                         Who is coming?
                         Your Honor --
23
              MS. ZEMAN:
                          Oh, someone is coming from IT.
24
              THE COURT:
25
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Oh, okay, great.
```

```
1
              MS. ZEMAN:
                          Your Honor, can I just address a few other
     housekeeping issues?
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Of course.
 3
                         One is regarding experts, do you want us
 4
              MS. ZEMAN:
 5
     to formally tender experts on the stand?
 6
              THE COURT:
                         What do you want to do? Mr. Duffy is
     shaking his head no.
 7
                          He is a little formal for us.
 8
              MS. ZEMAN:
              MR. DUFFY:
                          That's old fashioned. I have the white
 9
     hair, but that is still old fashioned to me.
10
11
              THE COURT: No, you do not have to do that.
     addressed that already.
12
13
              MS. ZEMAN: And then the second, I had asked earlier
     about the feasibility for our opening statement and the first
14
15
     witness, if we might move the tanks to this area in front of
16
     the juror box so that they are actually visible from the
17
     witness stand and to the jurors as opposed to tucked away.
18
                          Do you have any objection to that?
              THE COURT:
              MR. DUFFY:
                          I have no objection. I will do the same
19
20
     in my opening, Your Honor.
21
              THE COURT:
                         Yes. But with a different tank?
                                 I may use both. I don't know yet.
22
              MR. DUFFY:
                         Yeah.
23
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                          We can move back of them over here so
24
              MS. ZEMAN:
25
     that --
```

Oh, you are going to move both. Okay. 1 THE COURT: 2 Great. MR. DUFFY: And in my opening I may use those water 3 bottles that are being used over there, Your Honor, the empty 4 5 Does anyone have an objection on that? MS. SHARP: I'm sorry. What was the question? 6 7 MR. DUFFY: The water bottles that are right there next to the cooler. 8 MS. SHARP: What about them? 9 MR. DUFFY: I want to use them in my opening. 10 11 there any objection? How are you going to use them? 12 THE COURT: MS. SHARP: It is a demonstrative that hasn't been 13 disclosed until now. 14 15 MR. DUFFY: It would be to show volume of water or 16 liquid going into the freezer to help them understand the 17 volume. MS. ZEMAN: We would object, Your Honor. This has not 18 19 been disclosed. We don't see the relevance of water bottles 20 from the Court's supply. 21 MR. DUFFY: I wouldn't even tell them it is the Court's supply. I would just pull them --22 THE COURT: No. I understand it wouldn't be from the 23 Court's supply. Like, are you going to fill them? Are they 24 25 going to be empty?

```
1
              MR. DUFFY:
                          No, no, no, it's too much water. Yeah,
 2
     too much water.
                         Are you using it as a measurement or are
              THE COURT:
 3
     you just talking about --
 4
 5
              MR. DUFFY:
                          To demonstrate volume.
              THE COURT: Just that it is volume. Not tethered to
 6
 7
     how much in this particular case, the evidence -- just that --
     you are not going to say that there were this many bottles?
 8
              MR. DUFFY: Yes, I would.
                                         What I would do is use this
 9
     to show them physically what volume of liquid looks like
10
11
    because those are about 18 or 19 liters.
          And, according to Plaintiffs' expert, there is a minimum
12
13
     of 122 liters in the freezer that gets dissipated, evaporated,
     leaked out in about 20 hours.
14
          And so what I want to show the jury is physically -- and
15
     it is just here in the courtroom. I didn't want to do this
16
17
     without asking the Court -- is to show them that. That's the
     concept we are talking about.
18
              MS. ZEMAN: We actually don't know the volume of those
19
    bottles, for one thing.
20
              MR. DUFFY:
                         It is on the bottles. You can read it.
21
              THE COURT:
                         It is what?
22
              MR. DUFFY:
                         You can read it. It is on the bottles.
23
              THE COURT: How much is it?
24
25
              MR. DUFFY: It is 18 liters, I believe.
```

(Pause in the proceedings.) 1 MR. DUFFY: It is 18 liters, I believe, and there are 2 three of them here. 3 MS. ZEMAN: Doesn't appear to be that obvious. 4 (Pause in the proceedings.) 5 MR. DUFFY: Yeah -- yeah, it is 5 gallons, which is 6 7 18.9 liters. And it says so on the label which I can show Counsel. 8 MS. ZEMAN: It says gallons on the label, it sounds 9 Oh, it does say the liters as well? 10 like. 11 THE COURT: Okay. I think it is demonstrative. Well, it is undisclosed. But if, 12 MS. ZEMAN: 13 Your Honor --THE COURT: Well, now it is disclosed. 14 -- is determined to bring it, then --15 MS. ZEMAN: 16 THE COURT: No more. No more. That's it. 17 MR. DUFFY: Thank you, Your Honor. One other issue is: How is the Court -- and I know you 18 told us before that we would have scheduling coming from each 19 side of the witnesses being called. 20 What is the Court's ruling, again, on that or how are we 21 supposed to be told about witnesses and that sort of thing? 22 23 THE COURT: Oh, I think they e-mail you. MR. DUFFY: They did. But we are looking for a 24 resting date as well so that we can schedule the out-of-town 25

```
PROCEEDINGS
 1
     experts that are coming.
              THE COURT: Well, that's you just meet and confer and
 2
     try to figure that out the best you can.
 3
                          Okay. We haven't heard yet, so --
 4
              MR. DUFFY:
 5
              MS. ZEMAN:
                          And then we would say it would depend to
     some extent on the length of their cross as well. So it is
 6
     difficult for us to estimate.
 7
              THE COURT: Yeah. You should meet and confer on that
 8
     and just tell them what their estimate is, and they will know
 9
     what their cross is and --
10
11
              MS. REVEILLE: One clarification question, Your Honor.
     I know that the -- Your Honor's instruction was to inform us 72
12
    business hours in advance of our witnesses going by video.
13
     how many hours in advance should we be letting each other know
14
15
     about live witnesses?
              THE COURT: Oh, I think that's in the order, isn't it?
16
              MS. ZEMAN:
                         It is in the pretrial order. It is two
17
18
     days ahead.
19
```

So, Your Honor, Plaintiffs did tender an e-mail this morning at 8:00 a.m. indicating our first witness and -actually our witnesses for the 24th and 25th and our exhibits. And so we are expecting responses on those by 8:00 a.m. tomorrow.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. REVEILLE: Understood. So 48 hours in advance for live witnesses?

```
I believe it is two trial days per the
 1
              MS. ZEMAN:
 2
     pretrial order.
              MS. REVEILLE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Yeah, for Monday you can't do Saturday
 4
 5
     morning.
              MS. SHARP: Your Honor, may I be heard on one more
 6
     thing on the water bottles?
 7
              THE COURT:
 8
                          Yes.
              MS. SHARP: Demonstratives don't go back to the jury
 9
            Those are going to be in the jury room.
10
     room.
11
              THE COURT:
                         Oh, that's a point.
                         The jury room has a water cooler?
12
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Sure. It is a courtroom, just like this.
13
              THE COURT:
              MS. SHARP: Just like this.
14
              THE COURT: Yeah, that is an issue.
15
16
              MR. DUFFY:
                         Can I move it out?
17
              THE COURT:
                               They need their water.
                          No.
18
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Oh, oh, it is not prison, is it; right.
          But I don't think -- oh, that's right. You know what,
19
     Your Honor, the water cooler doesn't show the water bottle.
                                                                   Ιt
20
21
     just has the water machine. So the bottles won't be there.
     The machine will.
22
23
                         I think they will have water over there.
              MS. ZEMAN:
              MS. SHARP: Are we going to start dictating how the
24
25
     water supply in the jury room is going to be?
```

1 MR. DUFFY: They won't know. THE COURT: But the water bottles are there to be put 2 back in. 3 Correct. But if that bottle is not in the MR. DUFFY: 4 5 room where they are deliberating, then it doesn't go back to 6 them. Well, it is not just deliberating. It is 7 THE COURT: where they go every day. It is where they come in the morning. 8 It is where they go at the breaks; right. 9 So those water bottles are there. Otherwise, court staff 10 11 has to monitor and then bring the bottles in and out. And Ms. Means can't do that. 12 13 MR. DUFFY: Can we just check in there when they are not there to make sure they are gone? 14 15 As an Officer of the Court, I will get them out of there. THE COURT: No, but -- it is not just for their 16 17 deliberations because then the problem is it is there all the 18 time; right. Right. 19 MR. DUFFY: **THE COURT:** So that's the issue is that -- yeah. 20 I mean, it was an idea. But maybe you should have come up 21 22 with -- got something else other than what is going to be in 23 the jury room. I think that's a good -- a good point. So can't use that 24 25 one.

Your Honor, if I may, one other 1 MS. SHARP: question -- I'm done with that. I promise. No more water 2 bottles. 3 For the openings on Monday, given the social distancing 4 5 requirements, we would like to have our Plaintiffs here, all five of them. 6 7 THE COURT: Yes. Yes. MS. SHARP: Where shall we put them? 8 Well, so there is no jury over there 9 THE COURT: (indicating). 10 11 MS. SHARP: Right. So they can be back there. 12 THE COURT: 13 MS. SHARP: All right. So we will distance them in that area of the courtroom. 14 15 THE COURT: Yeah. And any other observers you have 16 within your allotment, I think over on that side. 17 MS. SHARP: Fantastic. Thank you. THE COURT: Is there going to be a Chart 18 19 representative here? 20 Not for openings, Your Honor. MR. DUFFY: THE COURT: Okay. All right. 21 And one more, redacted documents. 22 MS. ZEMAN: There were a handful of documents that were redacted and 23 put under seal as part of the summary judgment briefing. 24 25 Some of those will now be used as trial exhibits, and we

```
PROCEEDINGS
     are wondering if those can be used in an unredacted form at
 1
     trial?
 2
                          Well, did I -- I mean, what did I rule?
              THE COURT:
 3
              MS. ZEMAN:
                         For summary judgment purposes, you put
 4
 5
     some of them under seal with redactions.
          Again, with it now being at trial and for the jury to be
 6
     able to see them in full whether that --
 7
              THE COURT: Are they, like, redactions related to the
 8
     Plaintiffs?
 9
                         They are generally identifying customer
10
              MS. ZEMAN:
11
     names within Chart. Although for one of them, the redaction
     actually covers IVF, which is a part of the Plaintiff's name,
12
     which is relevant to that document. So at a minimum, we would
13
     like to unredact the IVF from that document.
14
                          I think we need to have a meet and confer
15
              MR. DUFFY:
16
     on that just so we can get to the details of what they are
17
     talking about.
18
              THE COURT: Yeah, why don't you show them.
                         Yeah, just show us and we will talk it
19
              MR. DUFFY:
     through.
20
              THE COURT: Since the trial is only being -- well you
21
```

THE COURT: Since the trial is only being -- well you know who -- the people who it's being displayed -- the exhibits are being displayed to are lawyers or parties who would be aware of it in any event.

22

23

24

25

But the public doesn't have access to the exhibits. They

```
PROCEEDINGS
 1
     only hear the audio.
 2
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Okay.
                         So if there isn't an actual true concern
              THE COURT:
 3
     about competitive harm, then let's unredact it.
 4
 5
              MR. DUFFY:
                          Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
              MS. ZEMAN:
 7
              THE COURT:
                         All right. So I think we are ready to
     practice our Zoom.
 8
          And, Marilyn, thank you for coming.
 9
10
              THE CLERK: We are off the record now.
                  (Proceedings adjourned at 11:50 a.m.)
11
          (At 11:50 a.m. the proceedings were adjourned, to resume
12
13
     on Monday, May 24, 2021.)
14
                                ---000---
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

24

25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS We certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. DATE: Thursday, May 20, 2021 Marla Krox Marla F. Knox, CSR, RMR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter Kathering Sullivan Katherine Powell Sullivan, CSR #5812, RMR, CRR U.S. Court Reporter